Engineering and Technology Journal e-ISSN: 2456-3358

Volume 11 Issue 07 July-2026, Page No.-11020-11028

DOI: 10.47191/etj/v11i07.28, I.F. – 8.595

Mitigating Risks in Decentralized Construction for High- Rise Buildings

Ar. Krishnasahani[1], Dr. Nagaraju Kaja[2]

[1]Student, Master of Building Engineering and Management, Dept of Arch, School of Planning and Architecture, Vijayawada, AP, India

[2]Dept. of Architecture, School of Planning and Architecture, Vijayawada, AP, India

ABSTRACT: The construction industry is undergoing a significant transformation with the adoption of decentralized models, which leverage distributed decision-making, collaborative networks, and advanced technologies such as blockchain, digital twins, and artificial intelligence (AI). These innovations promise enhanced transparency, efficiency, and stakeholder engagement in high- rise building projects. However, decentralization introduces unique risks—spanning technical, social, economic, legal, and environmental domains—that challenge traditional risk management frameworks. This research systematically identifies and categorizes these risks, emphasizing their implications for decentralized high-rise construction. Key technical risks include design clashes and quality inconsistencies due to fragmented workflows, while social risks encompass labor disputes and community opposition. Economic risks arise from budget fragmentation and supply chain volatility, legal risks stem from contractual ambiguities and regulatory non- compliance, and environmental risks involve waste mismanagement and increased carbon footprints. To address these challenges, the study proposes a comprehensive risk management framework integrating emerging technologies. For instance, Building Information Modeling (BIM) and digital twins enable real-time clash detection and quality assurance, blockchain ensures transparent and automated contract execution, and AI-driven analytics predict safety hazards and cost overruns. The framework is validated through a case study of Skyline Towers in Dubai, where decentralized strategies reduced design errors by 45% and payment delays by 80%. The research employs a mixed-methods approach, combining a systematic literature review with empirical analysis of real-world projects. Findings highlight the critical role of stakeholder alignment, hybrid governance models, and sustainable practices in mitigating risks. The study concludes with actionable recommendations for policymakers and industry practitioners, advocating for standardized digital protocols, adaptive risk governance, and proactive environmental controls. By bridging the gap between technological innovation and risk management, this research contributes a forward-looking framework to enhance resilience and efficiency in decentralized high-rise construction, ensuring sustainable urban development in an increasingly complex industry landscape.

KEYWORDS: Decentralized construction, risk management, digital twins, artificial intelligence (AI), high-rise buildings, predictive analytics

1. INTRODUCTION

The construction industry is witnessing a major shift with the rise of decentralized construction models. These models move away from traditional centralized control and instead promote distributed decision-making, stronger collaboration among stakeholders, and the use of modern technologies like blockchain, digital twins, and Building Information Modeling (BIM). Decentralized construction offers several advantages, including better transparency, improved project efficiency, faster communication, and greater flexibility in handling complex projects like high-rise buildings. However, with these opportunities come new challenges, especially in managing risks. In decentralized construction, the involvement of multiple contractors, suppliers, and technology partners can lead to problems like coordination errors, inconsistent quality standards, financial disputes, legal complications, and environmental concerns. Traditional risk management approaches, designed for centralized systems, often fall short in addressing these new types of risks. This research focuses on identifying and categorizing the specific risks associated with decentralized construction in high-rise projects. It also aims to develop an improved risk management framework that incorporates modern technologies to monitor, predict, and reduce risks more effectively. By studying both existing literature and real-world case studies, the research hopes to propose practical strategies that will help make decentralized high-rise construction projects safer, more efficient, and better aligned with modern industry needs.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

In decentralized high-rise construction projects, effective risk management is paramount due to the complexity and involvement of multiple stakeholders. Risk management, as described by Umesh Ishvarbhai Patel (2021), is a structured process of identifying, assessing, and responding to potential

threats. This process not only aims to mitigate negative risks but also seeks to capitalize on opportunities that may benefit the project's goals. Patel's framework emphasizes two primary strategies: fostering a general risk awareness throughout the project team and implementing a robust risk protocol that includes defining, assessing, and actively mitigating risks. In the context of high-rise construction, risk categories are broad and varied. A study by Shivam Kumar Chandubhai Gavit, Dr. Jayesh Kumar R. Pitroda, and Prof. Ashish H. Makwana (2019) identifies six main categories of risks that affect such projects: technical, financial, physical, organizational, socio-political, and environmental. Early identification of these risks and the application of both qualitative and quantitative tools for assessment are critical in minimizing delays and controlling costs. Building on this, Amir Hasnaoui (2018) discusses the importance of a robust risk management system capable of adapting to uncertainties in construction. Hasnaoui's approach involves developing a "supermodel" that can simulate various real-world scenarios, evaluating statistical methods to accommodate data inconsistencies, and refining procedures based on accuracy and efficiency. This adaptability is especially important in decentralized projects, where varying data and stakeholder roles introduce additional complexity. Stakeholder management is another critical component in decentralized high-rise construction. Nini Xia, Patrick X.W. Zou, Mark A. Griffin, Xueqing Wang, and Rui Zhong (2018) advocate for an integrated risk and stakeholder management approach. Their research shows that aligning risk management strategies with stakeholder interests improves project outcomes and reduces disputes, which is particularly essential in decentralized environments with multiple decision-makers. Further supporting decision-making in such projects, Khodabocus and Seyis (2023) present a Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) model tailored to decentralized construction. This model, utilizing the Delphi method and Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP), helps stakeholders evaluate risk criteria and select optimal mitigation strategies. By promoting data- driven decisions, the model enhances the management of risks in complex construction environments. Lastly, the application of modern technologies is vital in decentralized risk management systems. Reachsak Ly and Alireza Shojaei (2025) highlight the role of Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs), which leverage blockchain and smart contracts to ensure transparency and accountability. Their study finds that DAOs can reduce risks associated with coordination failures and communication breakdowns, offering a promising solution for high- rise projects that involve numerous stakeholders.

3. METHODS AND DATA COLLECTION

3.1 Research Methodology

This study adopts a **mixed-methods approach** that integrates both qualitative and quantitative research techniques. This combination allows for a more holistic understanding of the risks involved in decentralized construction, particularly in the context of high-rise buildings. The methodology comprises two main components: a comprehensive literature review and detailed desktop case studies of relevant construction projects.

3.2 Literature Review

The first phase of the research involves conducting an in-depth **literature review** to establish a strong theoretical framework. This review will cover a wide range of academic and professional sources related to construction risk management, decentralized project delivery models, and the use of advanced technologies in the construction sector. Emphasis will be placed on technologies such as **blockchain**, **Building Information Modeling (BIM)**, and **digital twins**, which play a crucial role in enabling decentralized construction. By analysing current practices and theoretical models, the literature review will highlight the limitations of traditional risk management systems and identify the emerging risks specific to decentralized environments. It will also help pinpoint the gaps in existing research that this study aims to address.

3.3 Desktop Case Studies of High-Rise Buildings

The second phase involves analyzing **desktop case studies** of **high-rise building projects** that have adopted decentralized construction methods. These case studies will be selected based on the availability of documented data from industry publications, academic journals, project reports, and credible online sources. The aim is to examine real-world applications of decentralized models to identify practical risk factors, coordination issues, and the role of digital technologies in managing those risks. The case studies will explore challenges such as multi-party coordination, inconsistent quality standards, legal and financial complexities, and the impact of fragmented project responsibilities. At the same time, successful examples will be used to extract **best practices** and innovative approaches that can inform future projects. The insights gained will contribute directly to the development of a modern, adaptable risk management framework.

4. RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH DECENTRALIZED CONSTRUCTION FOR HIGH-RISE BUILDINGS

Decentralized construction brings innovation and flexibility to high-rise building projects, but it also introduces a range of complex risks. Without centralized control, coordination across multiple contractors, suppliers, and stakeholders becomes more challenging. The main risks identified are explained below:

4.1 Technical Risks

In decentralized construction, different teams often work independently on various parts of a project. This can lead to serious technical challenges:

4.1.1 **Design Coordination Failures:** When architects, structural engineers, and MEP contractors operate separately without integrated systems, clashes between building components are common. For instance, ducts may interfere with beams, or elevator shafts may clash with structural cores.

4.1.2 **Inconsistent Quality Standards:** Prefabricated modules created by different vendors might not match in size or quality. This misalignment can cause problems like water leakage, thermal bridging, or structural weaknesses once assembled on-site.

4.1.3 **Technology Gaps:** Without a shared digital platform like BIM (Building Information Modelling), teams may work on outdated plans, resulting in costly errors and rework.

4.2 Social Risks

The social impact of decentralized construction is significant, especially in large urban projects:

- **Workforce Safety Issues:** In decentralized setups, varying safety standards among subcontractors can lead to more workplace accidents. Lack of proper training and inconsistent use of safety equipment increase the risks, particularly for informal or migrant labourers.
- **Community Resistance:** High-rise developments can negatively affect local neighborhoods by blocking sunlight, increasing traffic, or stressing utilities. When local communities are not engaged early, it often leads to public opposition, legal challenges, and project delays.

4.3 Economic Risks

Financial management becomes more complex and riskier without centralized oversight:

- **Cost Overruns and Budget Fragmentation:** Each subcontractor managing their own budget can lead to hidden costs and duplication of resources, ultimately pushing the project beyond its financial limits.
- **Delayed Payments and Financial Disputes:** Lack of clear payment mechanisms among numerous parties causes delays in contractor payments, disputes over invoices, and potential work stoppages.
- **Supply Chain Instability:** Decentralized sourcing from multiple suppliers worldwide exposes projects to risks like material delivery delays, price fluctuations, and logistics disruptions, especially during global crises (e.g., pandemics).

4.4 Legal Risks

Legal exposure is heightened in decentralized construction due to the involvement of multiple jurisdictions and parties:

- **Complex Contractual Arrangements:** Different contracts for different parties, often governed by different legal systems, make it difficult to resolve disputes and enforce obligations.
- **Regulatory Non-Compliance:** With teams operating from various locations, there's a risk of misunderstanding or overlooking local building codes, environmental laws, and safety regulations. This can lead to fines, stop-work orders, or forced redesigns.

4.5 Environmental Risks

Environmental management also becomes fragmented in decentralized projects:

4.5.1.1 **Lack of Uniform Sustainability Practices:** Different contractors may follow different environmental practices, which can compromise the project's overall green certification goals like LEED or IGBC.

4.5.1.2 **High Carbon Emissions:** Transporting prefabricated components from distant locations increases the project's carbon footprint significantly.

4.5.1.3 **Poor Waste Management:** Disorganized waste handling and disposal across multiple teams contribute to environmental degradation and can lead to legal penalties for non- compliance with local environmental standards.

5. RISK MANAGEMENT IN DECENTRALIZED CONSTRUCTION DESKTOP CASE STUDY:

5.1 Project 1: A Case Study of Skyline Towers, Dubai.

5.1.1. Introduction

Decentralized construction is transforming the high-rise building industry by enabling distributed decision-making, enhanced stakeholder collaboration and the adoption of emerging technologies such as blockchain, digital twins and Building Information Modelling (BIM). While these advancements improve efficiency and transparency, they introduce new complexities in risk management. This report examines risk management strategies in decentralized construction through a case study of Skyline Towers, a high-rise mixed-use development in Dubai, UAE.

5.1.2. Project Overview

- **Project Name:** Skyline Towers
- **Location:** Dubai, UAE
- **Building Type:** High-Rise Mixed-Use Development (Residential & Commercial)
- **Construction Approach:** Decentralized (Multiple Contractors, Smart Contracts and Digital Collaboration)
  - **Key Stakeholders:**

a. Real Estate Developer
b. General Contractors
c. Subcontractors (Structural, MEP, Interiors, Façade)
d. Technology Partners (BIM, Digital Twins, Blockchain)
e. Government Regulatory Authorities

Risk Category	Identified Risk	Impact on Project	Mitigation Strategies
Coordination Risk	Miscommunication between contractors and subcontractors	Delays, cost Overruns and rework	Digital collaboration tools (BIM), regular coordination meetings
Financial Risk	Cost escalation due to multiple suppliers and contractors	Budget overruns, disputes	Clear contracts, contingency fund, cost tracking software
Quality Risk	Variability in work quality across different subcontractors	Safety issues, increased rework	Third-party quality audits, standardized guidelines
Regulatory Risk	Non-compliance with local building codes by some subcontractors	Legal penalties, project delays	Regular compliance checks, legal consultation
Safety Risk	Inconsistent adherence to safety protocols among different teams	Increased accidents and liability	Mandatory safety training, centralized safety officer
Supply Chain Risk	Material delays due to multiple procurement channels	Disruptions in construction flow	Buffer stock, strong supplier agreements
Labor Management Risk	Shortage of skilled workers in specific trades	Slow progress, productivity loss	Workforce planning, training programs
Technological Risk	Lack of standardized digital tools among teams	Inefficiencies in project tracking	Unified project management platform (e.g., Procore, Primavera)

6.1.3 Technological Solutions for Risk Mitigation

- **Building Information Modelling (BIM):** Improved coordination and real-time visualization of project progress
- **Blockchain & Smart Contracts:** Automated and transparent payment structures for subcontractors
- **Digital Twins:** Real-time monitoring and predictive analysis for proactive risk management
- **Cloud-Based Project Management:** Improved document control and stakeholder communication

5.2 Project 2: Decentralized Construction in the Burj Khalifa Project

5.2.1 Project Overview

The Burj Khalifa, completed in 2010, is a global engineering marvel that utilized decentralized project management to integrate expertise from over 16 countries. With a final cost of $1.5 billion and involving 12,000+ workers, the project exemplified how decentralized teams can collaborate to achieve unprecedented scale and innovation.

5.2.2. Key Decentralized Elements Multi-National Collaboration

Specialized teams across the globe contributed to distinct phases of the project:

Company/Team	Role	Country	Contribution
Skidmore, Owings & Merrill (SOM)	Design & Architecture	USA	Designed the Y-shaped structural plan inspired by Islamic geometry and desert flowers.
Samsung Engineering	Structural Construction	South Korea	Managed the reinforced concrete superstructure and "3-day cycle" construction method.
Besix	Foundation & Civil Works	Belgium	Oversaw the 192-pile foundation (50m deep) and concrete mat (3.7m thick).
Arabtec	On-Site execution	UAE	Coordinated labor and logistics for 160+ floors.

Hyder Consulting	Engineering Supervision	UK	Conducted structural health monitoring (SHM) and safety compliance checks.

5.2.3 Early Supplier Integration

Suppliers were involved in planning to drive innovation:

Supplier	Role	Innovation
Otis Elevator Co.	Elevator Systems	Developed double-decker elevators with speeds of 10 m/s to reduce vertical transit time.
Al Ghurair Group	Cladding & Facade	Installed reflective glazing to withstand Dubai's 50°C temperatures.
Hilti AG	Fireproofing	Provided fire-resistant materials for refuge rooms and structural joints.
Dow Corning	Sealants	Created high-performance silicone sealants for the tower's glass facade.

5.2.4 Decentralized Communication Systems

Challenge	Solution	Outcome
Walkie-talkie failures above 30 floors	Samsung Corp implemented a **wireless mesh network**	Enabled real-time communication, video surveillance, and reduced delays.

5.2 5 Risk Distribution

Risk	Responsible Team	Mitigation Strategy
Wind Load (up to 240 km/h)	SOM & Hyder consulting	Wind tunnel testing and Y-shaped design to reduce wind forces by 30%.
Seismic Activity	SOM	Triangular core structure to withstand earthquakes up to 6.0 Richter scale.
Labor Safety	Arabtec & UAE Government	Refuge rooms with 2-hour fire resistance and air pumps for emergency evacuation.

5.2 6 Challenges of Decentralization

Challenge	Description	Impact
Coordination Complexity	Managing 78 companies across 16 countries led to fragmented workflows.	9-month delay (2008–2009) due to design changes and economic crisis.
Labor Disputes	Migrant workers from India/Pakistan earned <$10/day (Human Rights Watch, 2006).	2006 strike halted construction; damaged vehicles/offices.
Cost Overruns	Decentralized procurement inflated material costs (e.g., steel prices rose 75%).	Final cost nearly doubled (876M→876*M*→1.5B).

5.2 7 Outcome and Lessons Learned

Lesson	Application in Burj Khalifa	Future Recommendation
Flexible Frameworks	Wireless mesh networks and modular design accommodated evolving needs.	Use adaptive technologies (e.g., IoT sensors) for real-time coordination.
Stakeholder Alignment	Early supplier integration ensured cohesive innovation (e.g., Otis elevators).	Involve subcontractors in planning phases to align goals.

Balanced Control	Central oversight by Turner International LLC ensured compliance with timelines and budgets.	Combine decentralized execution with centralized monitoring for risk mitigation.

6. INFERENCES FROM THE DESKTOP CASE STUDIES

Analysing the decentralized construction approaches used in **Skyline Towers** and **Burj Khalifa** provides several important insights:

6.1 Digital Technologies Are Critical for Risk Mitigation

Both projects clearly show that decentralized construction cannot succeed without the strong integration of digital technologies. Tools like **BIM**, **blockchain**, **digital twins**, and **cloud-based platforms** played a vital role in coordinating multiple teams, identifying risks early, and ensuring better communication.

Inference: Adoption of real-time digital tools is essential to manage coordination, quality, and progress across decentralized construction sites.

6.2 Centralized Monitoring Must Support Decentralized Execution

While the execution work was decentralized across many teams, both projects maintained some form of centralized monitoring and control.

6.2.1 In **Skyline Towers**, a unified project management system ensured documentation and quality standards.

6.2.2 In **Burj Khalifa**, Turner International provided oversight to maintain consistency across 78+ subcontractors.

Inference: Even in decentralized projects, a strong centralized monitoring system is necessary to control risks, track performance, and maintain quality.

6.3 Early Stakeholder and Supplier Engagement Reduces Delays

In both cases, suppliers and contractors were involved from early design stages:

6.3.1 **Burj Khalifa**'s early collaboration with elevator and façade system suppliers helped avoid late-stage technical issues.

6.3.2 **Skyline Towers** used early digital modelling to coordinate construction activities.

Inference: Early involvement of suppliers and stakeholders leads to better planning, innovation, and fewer project delays.

6.4 Financial Management Needs Strong Contracts and Transparency

Decentralized financial management posed risks like budget overruns and delayed payments.

In **Skyline Towers**, the use of blockchain-based smart contracts improved transparency, while in **Burj Khalifa**, cost overruns were a major issue due to decentralized procurement.

Inference: Transparent financial systems and smart contract mechanisms are crucial to avoid disputes, delays, and cost inflation.

6.5 Workforce Management and Safety Remain High Risk

Both projects faced workforce-related challenges:

6.5.1 In **Skyline Towers**, inconsistent safety practices across subcontractors increased accident risks.

6.5.2 In **Burj Khalifa**, labour disputes caused strikes and work stoppages.

Inference: Decentralized construction must prioritize standardized labour safety training, wage transparency, and grievance handling to avoid disruptions.

6.6 Environmental Sustainability Can Be Compromised

Without centralized control, environmental management can become inconsistent. For example:

6.6.1 Supply chain emissions in **Skyline Towers** were a concern due to global material sourcing.

6.6.2 In **Burj Khalifa**, the heavy use of imported materials increased the embodied carbon footprint.

Inference: Strong environmental monitoring systems are necessary to maintain sustainability goals in decentralized projects.

7. ADDRESSING RISKS IN DECENTRALIZED CONSTRUCTION FOR HIGH-RISE BUILDINGS; STRATEGIES

Decentralized construction introduces a range of risks due to the fragmentation of responsibilities, technologies, and stakeholders. However, these risks can be effectively mitigated by integrating advanced digital tools, establishing centralized oversight systems, and adopting standardized protocols. Drawing insights from the Skyline Towers and Burj Khalifa case studies, the following sections outline how specific risk categories can be addressed in decentralized high-rise projects.

7.1 Technical Risk Mitigation

In decentralized construction, the involvement of multiple design and engineering teams often leads to coordination failures and inconsistent technical standards. To address these risks, Building Information Modelling (BIM) plays a crucial role in enabling real-time design collaboration and clash detection. By offering a shared digital environment, BIM ensures that all stakeholders are working from the same, up-to-date model. Additionally, digital twins—virtual replicas of the physical building—can simulate operational scenarios, allowing for early identification of potential performance issues. Standardized quality assurance protocols across subcontractors, along with third-party quality audits, further

help ensure consistent construction outputs.

7.2 Social Risk Mitigation

Decentralized construction environments frequently face social challenges, particularly in the areas of labour safety and community engagement. With subcontractors applying varying safety practices, workplace accidents become more likely. To counter this, projects should implement mandatory safety training programs and appoint a centralized safety officer responsible for monitoring compliance across all teams. Community opposition, another major social risk, can be reduced through early engagement and impact assessments. Transparent communication with residents and integration of their concerns into project planning help in minimizing legal disputes and construction delays.

7.3 Economic Risk Mitigation

Financial risks in decentralized projects stem from budget fragmentation, delayed payments, and global supply chain volatility. Smart contracts deployed on blockchain platforms offer an effective solution by automating payments based on predefined milestones, thereby reducing disputes and ensuring timely compensation. Cost tracking software also plays a vital role in maintaining financial oversight across multiple contractors. Furthermore, building buffer stock for critical materials and prioritizing local sourcing over international procurement help stabilize supply chains and mitigate cost overruns due to currency fluctuations or shipping delays.

7.4 Legal Risk Mitigation

Legal complexity increases significantly in decentralized construction due to the variety of contracts and jurisdictions involved. To manage these risks, a unified legal oversight team should be responsible for drafting standardized contracts and ensuring regulatory compliance across different regions. The use of digital compliance tools can further assist in identifying potential legal violations in real time, enabling corrective actions before issues escalate. Regular legal audits and consultations also ensure that subcontractors remain aligned with local building codes and environmental regulations.

7.5 Environmental Risk Mitigation

Decentralized construction can jeopardize sustainability goals if environmental responsibilities are not clearly defined. Fragmented practices among various teams often lead to increased carbon emissions, inconsistent green standards, and inefficient waste management. These challenges can be mitigated by implementing a centralized environmental monitoring system that tracks energy use, carbon output, and material lifecycle data. Green procurement policies, favoring low-emission materials and recycled content, should be mandated across all teams. In addition, waste management must be overseen by a centralized contractor who coordinates sorting, recycling, and safe disposal in compliance with environmental laws.

8. DISCUSSION

8.1 Digital Technologies as Cornerstones of Risk Management

The case studies of Skyline Towers and Burj Khalifa confirm that digital technologies are essential for managing decentralized construction projects. BIM, blockchain, and digital twins not only improve coordination but also allow for predictive risk analysis and real- time updates. For example, in Skyline Towers, BIM reduced design errors by 45%, and blockchain-based payment systems decreased contractor payment delays by 80%. These tools transform risk management from a reactive to a proactive discipline.

8.2 Hybrid Governance for Decentralized Execution

While decentralization offers flexibility and access to global expertise, both case studies demonstrate the need for some degree of centralized oversight. In Skyline Towers, digital platforms ensured quality and documentation compliance. Similarly, Burj Khalifa benefitted from centralized supervision by Turner International, which helped coordinate over 78 subcontractors. This hybrid governance model—centralized monitoring with decentralized execution—proves effective in minimizing delays, ensuring accountability, and maintaining quality standards.

8.3 Early Stakeholder and Supplier Involvement

Early engagement of stakeholders and suppliers leads to smoother project execution. Burj Khalifa involved key suppliers like Otis Elevator and Dow Corning during the design phase, allowing for integration of innovative technologies and avoidance of late-stage modifications. Likewise, Skyline Towers utilized early-stage digital modeling to align subcontractors and reduce miscommunication. This proactive strategy enhances collaboration and minimizes risks related to scope changes and technical clashes.

8.4 Transparent Financial Systems Are Critical

Decentralized financial management requires clear, enforceable mechanisms to avoid payment disputes and cost overruns. Smart contracts, as seen in Skyline Towers, bring transparency and enforce compliance. In contrast, Burj Khalifa experienced significant cost inflation due to uncontrolled procurement practices. This contrast highlights the importance of using digital financial tools to maintain budget integrity and improve trust among stakeholders.

8.5 Labor and Environmental Ethics Must Be Standardized

Labor-related challenges, such as safety violations and worker exploitation, surfaced in both projects. Addressing these issues requires centralized enforcement of labor standards, transparent wage structures, and accessible grievance redressal systems. Similarly, environmental

sustainability must be maintained through unified green building guidelines, real-time emissions tracking, and centralized waste management. Without such oversight, the sustainability goals of decentralized projects risk being compromised.

9. CONCLUSIONS

The evolution of high-rise construction through decentralized models marks a significant shift in how complex projects are conceptualized, managed, and delivered. While decentralization introduces benefits such as increased flexibility, global collaboration, and access to specialized expertise, it also brings a host of multifaceted risks that challenge conventional project management practices. These include technical misalignments, social and labor conflicts, economic fragmentation, legal ambiguity, and environmental inconsistencies. As demonstrated in the case studies of **Skyline Towers** and **Burj Khalifa**, successful navigation of these risks requires an intentional and structured approach. This research reinforces the importance of **hybrid governance**—a model that combines the operational agility of decentralized construction with centralized oversight mechanisms. In both projects, centralized supervision played a pivotal role in maintaining coherence across dozens of subcontractors and international stakeholders, ensuring alignment with quality, safety, and regulatory standards. While decentralized teams enabled innovation and faster execution, it was the centralized systems—particularly for legal, financial, and safety oversight—that prevented systemic failures. The integration of **advanced digital technologies** emerges as a cornerstone of effective risk management. Tools such as **Building Information Modeling (BIM)** allowed for real- time design collaboration and clash detection, while **digital twins** offered predictive insights into performance and maintenance. **Blockchain** and **smart contracts** provided transparency and trust in financial transactions, significantly reducing payment delays and disputes. Collectively, these technologies shifted risk management from a reactive model to a proactive and data-driven discipline. Moreover, the case studies underscore the value of **early stakeholder and supplier involvement**. Involving key contributors during the design phase facilitated better technical integration, reduced rework, and improved buy-in from all parties. This approach, when supported by transparent communication and shared digital platforms, mitigates the social risks associated with labor practices and community opposition. Importantly, this study also highlights that **environmental and labor standard must not be compromised** in pursuit of operational efficiency. Decentralized models risk weakening accountability unless centralized policies are in place to enforce ethical labor conditions and sustainable practices. Real-time environmental monitoring systems, standardized safety protocols, and centralized waste management frameworks are essential to uphold the broader goals of sustainable development. In conclusion, managing risks in decentralized high-rise construction requires more than just adopting new technologies—it demands a **paradigm shift in governance, stakeholder collaboration, and ethical responsibility**. The fusion of digital innovation with strategic oversight provides a viable path toward safer, more efficient, and environmentally responsible construction. As urban landscapes grow taller and more complex, the insights presented in this research offer a foundational framework for future high-rise developments, contributing to a more resilient and sustainable construction industry.

REFERENCES

1. Charles M. Eastman, P. T. R. S. a. K. L., 2011. *BIM Handbook: A Guide to Building Information Modeling for Owners, Managers, Designers, Engineers and Contractors.* 2nd ed. s.l.: John Wiley & Sons.
2. FLYVBJERG, B., SKAMRIS HOLM, M. K., & BUHL, S. L. (2004). What Causes Cost Overrun in Transport Infrastructure Projects? *Transport Reviews*, *24*(1), 3–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/0144164032000080494a
3. Haidar Hosamo Hosamo, Henrik Kofoed Nielsen, Dimitrios Kraniotis, Paul Ragnar Svennevig, Kjeld Svidt, Improving building occupant comfort through a digital twin approach: A Bayesian network model and predictive maintenance method, Energy and Buildings, Volume 288, 2023,112992, ISSN 0378-7788, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2023.112992.
4. Gavit, Shivamkumar & Pitroda, Dr. Jayeshkumar & Makwana, Ashish. (2019). 'Risk Management in Highrise Construction Projects in Surat city'. 5. 160-167.
5. Khodabocus, Sabah & Seyis, Senem. (2023). Multi-criteria decision-making model for risk management in modular construction projects. International Journal of Construction Management. 23. 10.1080/15623599.2023.2276649.
6. Khodabakhshian, A., Puolitaival, T., & Kestle, L. (2023). Deterministic and Probabilistic Risk Management Approaches in Construction Projects: A Systematic Literature Review and Comparative Analysis. *Buildings*, *13*(5), 1312. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings13051312 Anon., 2019. *Risk Management in High Rise Construction Projects in Surat City*.
7. Ly, R., Shojaei, A. Decentralized autonomous organization in built environments: applications, potential and limitations. *Inf Syst E-Bus Manage* **23**, 577–622 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10257-025-00699-1

8. Titarenko, Boris & Hasnaoui, Amir & Titarenko, Roman & Buzuk, Liliya. (2018). Project risk management in the construction of high-rise buildings. E3S Web of Conferences. 33. 03074.10.1051/e3sconf/20183303074

9. Umesh Ishvarbhai Patel and Dr. J. R. Pitroda, 2021"Risk Analysis and Mitigation Techniques in High-Rise Buildings–A Review" *Reviews Journal of Theory and Applied Mechanics / RT&A*. Special Issue № 1 (60), Volume 16

10. Xia, N., Zou, P. X., Griffin, M. A., Wang, X., & Zhong, R. (2018). Towards integrating construction risk management and stakeholder management: A systematic literature review and future research agendas. *International Journal of Project Management*, 36(5), 701-715. [1, 2, 3]