Engineering and Technology Journal e-ISSN: 2456-3358

Volume 10 Issue 09 September-2025, Page No.-6730-6742

DOI: 10.47191/etj/v10i09.03, I.F. – 8.482

Human AI Interaction in Financial Decision Support for Healthcare Administrators

Oluwadamilola Adeleke[1], Japhet Adeniran Olugbogi[2]

[1]Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL

[2]Babcock University, Ikenne Nigeria.

ABSTRACT: This provides a conceptual model of Human-AI Interaction in Financial Decision Support, focusing on healthcare administrators with the objective of enhancing financial architecture, risk mitigation, and operational efficacy in healthcare systems. The model incorporates the growing AI domain that encompasses machine learning, language processing, and Robotic Process Automation (RPA) to automate repetitive menial tasks in conjunction with human skills thereby forming a hybrid intelligence infrastructure. The model contains four frames: information retrieval through acquisition, AI-based financial analytics, human-in-the-loop verification, and learning-based feedback. Initially, automated systems gather and preprocess both structured and unstructured data from electronic health records, claims, and financial management systems. Data is then analyzed utilizing advanced AI techniques to issue predictive analytics such as estimation of costs, revenue cycle, and risk-adjusted financial outcomes. These insights are issued from AI systems and are to be adjusted in the context of healthcare administrators' review to ensure predictive analytics and recommended actions are adjusted and aligned with domain knowledge. Systems with a human-in-the-loop perform in the real-world context qualify AI outputs and ensure reliable outcomes that meet the organizational goals, compliance and ethical boundaries that are set forth. In addition, the model features a learning-based feedback mechanism that is adaptive in nature, analyzing financial outcomes post-decision to adjust rule-based algorithms for future cycles of prediction to enhance long-term relevance and accuracy.

The dual aspects of automated intelligence and human decision-making enrich the ecosystems of user trust and accountability, as well as transparency in AI-enabled finance. Besides, it streamlines proactive strategic planning, improves resource distribution, and alleviates administrative workload in value-driven healthcare systems. This also illustrates relevant concerns for implementation such as user interface aesthetics, personnel education, and data management frameworks. This model provides all healthcare entities with a single, scalable, and replicable structure to enhance financial robustness and resilience, while articulating, prioritizing, and accentuating human supervision and multi-faceted decision-making in complex systems of integrated healthcare.

KEYWORDS: Model, Human-AI interaction, financial decision support, Healthcare administrators

1. INTRODUCTION

The financial dimension of healthcare decision-making is intricate and critically influential, as it touches on the domains of patient care, resource allocation, and the long-term viability of the organization (Younes and Irandoost, 2024; Otegui, 2024). Strategic financial decisions, as made by healthcare administrators, must forecast and predict costs and patient utilization, allocate scarce resources, and ensure adherence to contractually compliant value-based payment models, all amid shifting budgetary constraints and regulatory uncertainty (Werner et al., 2023; Oluwole et al., 2024). Confounding this dualism of compliance and subservience is the need to maintain a forgiving cost structure, while delivering care that is high-quality, patient-centered, and cost-efficient. Additionally, the dispersed nature of healthcare data as a fragmented lattice of EHRs, billing systems, insurance databases, and repositories of clinical and outcome data obfuscates the generation of timely and accurate financial insights, thus perpetuating the phenomenon of data fragmentation (Adeniyi et al., 2024; Joshi, 2024). To optimize financial strategies, timely and accurate data is imperative, yet complexity and sheer volume of healthcare data incurs latency, worsens precision, and heightens multi-faceted error.

Healthcare finance is slowly being revolutionized with technological innovation, such as Artificial Intelligence (AI) which has offered solutions to numerous issues in the field. To explore healthcare data more AI Applications in healthcare are used for revenue cycle optimization, fraud detection, claim-risk scoring, cost prediction and financial forecasting. AI applications such as machine learning, predictive analytics, optimization algorithms, and natural language processing provide healthcare data with accurate, swift processing (Paramesha et al., 2024; Adeyeye and Akanbi, 2024). AI systems can identify numerous hidden patterns, providing advanced predictive and insight generation, all-the-while automating monotonous jobs like claim processing and billing reconciliation. Moreover, AI systems can analyze historical and real-time data to provide accurate and precise predictions of an organization's financial performance as well as identifying the drivers for cost variation, enabling agile resource allocation. Predictive financial tools, which integrate financial targets with patient relevant outcomes and quality metrics, as well as advanced forecasting tools are required. This is of utmost importance in systems transitioning to value-based care models (Harrill and Melon, 2021; Kokshagina, 2021).

Even with its strengths, technology cannot supplant human financial expertise within the healthcare sector. Ethical implications, compliance with regulations, and strategic considerations intertwined with financial decisions alongside other industry-specific layers of context and human cognition (Glette and Wiig, 2021; Olorunyomi et al., 2024). Additionally, AI models generate Black Box outputs, posing risks to transparency, accountability, and bias. Thus, the field of healthcare finance cannot be simplified to automated processes. Rather, a growing shift towards the Human-AI partnership is emerging, where technology becomes an augmenting tool serving human agents, not the other way around. In that AI Collaboratory model, AI systems issue recommendations, forecasts, and risk analysis, with human healthcare administrators utilizing their expertise to contextualize and apply ethical reasoning to validate AI outputs (Adeoye and Adams, 2024; Zong and Guan, 2024). This model fosters more balanced and accountable financial decisions by integrating technology's advanced analysis and human experts' critical reasoning and adaptability.

The main aim of the Human-AI Interaction Model is to define the interaction in a, bounded, structured, mathematical, and operational framework in the context of decision support systems in healthcare finance (Fragiadakis et al., 2024; Rahman et al., 2024). The model consists of three layers: AI Analytical Layer, Human Judgment Layer, and the Interaction Interface Layer. The AI Analytical Layer processes financial and clinical information using predictive algorithms, optimization models, and risk assessment tools. The Human Judgment Layer integrates the outputs of AI with peer review, ethics, and governance to enhance context and meaning. The Interaction Interface Layer uses dashboards, alerts, and scenario analysis tools which support the iterative decision-making process to provide real-time interaction and feedback between the two layers (Ravalji and Mishra, 2024; Attoh et al., 2024). The ability of AI to provide advice is ensured with transparency and explanation that can be framed at the level of the human decision maker.

In addition to this, the model features mathematical calculating equations estimating income, risk assessment, and resource efficiency to aid in the simulation of financial scenarios and assessment of different decisions pathways trade-offs within a healthcare organization. Another important aspect of the model is the integration of confidence within a decision-making process adjustment which combines human edits and AI predictions based on a configurable weighting factor. This allows organizations to calibrate their level of AI dependence based on the sophistication and nuance of the financial decision at hand.

While addressing the balance between control and control, the Human-AI Interaction Model has placed limitations on financial management AI autonomy, thus ensuring human oversight (Mann, 2024; Mennella et al., 2024). Its objective is not only focused on automating financial forecasting, but also on developing a decision support system which adapts and collaborates alongside users, improving enhanced shedding, aiding in the accuracy of forecasting, fast-tracking decision times, and ensuring alignment with organizational goals based on ethical principles. The model sought to enable the sdf healthcare administrator and decision maker with the right tools, making financial decisions more resilient, open, and efficient in a data abundant healthcare setting.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

Human-AI Interaction in Financial Decision Support for Healthcare Administrators was developed a model using an applied PRISMA methodology for transparency, reproducibility, and defined rigor in the systematic review process graph a literature review. In healthcare, AI, and human collaborative decision making along with AI frameworks and in a systematic literature review, frameworks and, identified case studies on the process Ent with derive and analyze the defined obtain the aim through designing the aim through understanding synergetic mechanisms, and the engineering expert processes with AI tools where human in to outcomes the explore and integrating the components frameworks and synthesis case models aim through research overview with for In this culminate the human expertise integrated and synthesis to e, several electronic review obtain performed ranging search databases included peer, and, scaffolded program, scimas, web, google scholar, and devices, where targeted hypothesized through Active search. Automation, healthcare finance, decision put to context keywords were interrogators including finance analyzer, and healthcare administration. Peer reviewed literature was under the year scope of 2010 to 2025 through minimal criteria.

The selection procedure was based on a two-step screening process. In the first step, duplicate studies were eliminated. In the second step, the relevance of the titles and abstracts to the research objective was determined. Inclusion was made for studies that dealt with AI-driven financial decision support tools in the healthcare sector and discussed the aspects of human supervision, interaction, or validation. Exclusion criteria included studies outside the healthcare field, AI studies that focused on technology with no human interaction components, and non-English publications.

The full texts of the preliminary studies were carefully analyzed for the criteria. This analysis included a full-text review of each study, which was verified through the criteria checklists. This was further aided by a uniform data extraction template that was built to capture study aims, the AI method employed, the human-AI interaction framework, the financial decision made, and the implementation issues.

The results were qualitatively synthesized and structured through thematic analysis. This process involved looking for common components and workflows in multiple studies. These components guided the conceptual design of the model which underscored the need for iterative, collaborative cycles between AI systems and healthcare administrators, incorporated adaptive mechanisms for learning and feedback to enhance financial decision support and forecasting.

2.1 Conceptual Framework of the Model

The conceptual framework for Human-AI Interaction in Financial Decision Support for Healthcare Administrators is designed to integrate artificial intelligence (AI) technologies with human expertise to enhance financial decision-making processes within healthcare organizations. This model establishes a structured, collaborative workflow that ensures both computational accuracy and contextual relevance in financial forecasting and planning. It comprises three interrelated layers—AI Analytical Layer, Human Judgment Layer, and Interaction Interface Layer—each playing a distinct role in the financial decision-making ecosystem (Sarker, 2022; Taherdoost, 2023). The framework emphasizes an iterative interaction dynamic between AI-driven analytics and human expertise to achieve accurate, compliant, and actionable financial strategies.

At the core of the model lies the AI Analytical Layer, responsible for generating advanced financial insights through computational techniques. This layer encompasses predictive modeling and optimization algorithms designed to project healthcare costs, revenues, and resource utilization under various operational and policy scenarios. Machine learning (ML) models within this layer analyze large volumes of historical and real-time financial data to identify hidden patterns, detect anomalies, and predict financial risks.

Predictive modeling techniques, such as time series forecasting, regression analysis, and neural networks, are employed to estimate future expenditures, including operational costs, personnel expenses, and patient care charges. Optimization algorithms are also applied to recommend cost-effective resource allocations and budget adjustments. Furthermore, risk analysis models, such as gradient boosting machines and ensemble learning methods, assess financial risks linked to patient outcomes, reimbursement rates, and regulatory changes. These models help predict high-cost patient segments, potential payment delays, and budget overruns, thereby providing a foundation for proactive financial decision-making.

The Human Judgment Layer complements the AI Analytical Layer by embedding expert knowledge, ethical considerations, and contextual insights into the decision-making process. This layer recognizes that healthcare financial decisions are influenced by factors beyond algorithmic outputs, such as regulatory compliance, patient equity, organizational priorities, and societal values.

Healthcare administrators, financial officers, and clinical leaders are actively involved in interpreting and validating AI-generated recommendations. They review predictive reports, assess the feasibility of recommendations, and ensure that financial strategies align with organizational goals, healthcare policies, and ethical standards. This layer enables subjective adjustments based on experience, intuition, and institutional knowledge, allowing administrators to override or refine AI outputs when necessary. It also acts as a safeguard against algorithmic biases and unforeseen consequences of automated decisions.

The Interaction Interface Layer serves as the point of convergence between the AI Analytical Layer and the Human Judgment Layer. It facilitates seamless communication and collaboration through user-friendly dashboards, financial reporting tools, and interactive decision-support systems (Mgbame *et al*., 2022; Adekunle *et al*., 2023).

Dashboards within this layer visualize key financial indicators, forecasting trends, and risk alerts, making complex data accessible to non-technical users. Interactive tools allow administrators to simulate different scenarios, adjust model parameters, and explore "what-if" analyses. Through these interfaces, users can provide feedback on AI-generated outputs, which is then captured and fed back into the underlying AI models for continuous refinement. The interface also provides audit trails to track decision-making pathways and document the rationale behind strategic choices, ensuring transparency and accountability.

The conceptual framework operates through an iterative, cyclical interaction process that continuously refines both AI model performance and human decision-making outcomes. This dynamic process comprises five key stages: Data Input, AI Processing, Human Review, Decision Refinement, and Feedback to AI Model.

Data Input, the process begins with the input of structured and unstructured financial data sourced from electronic health records, enterprise resource planning systems, insurance claims, and external databases. RPA tools are often used at this stage to automate data collection and preprocessing, ensuring consistency and quality.

AI Processing, once the data is prepared, AI models process the inputs using predictive analytics and optimization techniques. The models generate financial forecasts, risk scores, and actionable recommendations tailored to the healthcare organization’s financial objectives.

Human Review, AI-generated outputs are subsequently reviewed by healthcare administrators and finance experts. During this stage, human users scrutinize the results for accuracy, ethical implications, and strategic alignment. They may identify potential shortcomings in the AI analysis, such as insufficient consideration of regulatory changes or population health disparities.

Based on their review, human decision-makers refine the AI-generated recommendations by incorporating institutional knowledge, practical constraints, and policy objectives. This step may involve modifying budgets, reallocating resources, or reprioritizing financial plans to better reflect organizational values and operational realities. After the final decision is implemented and its outcomes are monitored, feedback is provided to the AI system. Performance data, such as discrepancies between forecasted and actual results, is used to recalibrate the machine learning models and improve future predictions (Farchi *et al.*, 2021; Morales and Villalobos, 2023). This feedback loop enables adaptive learning, enhancing the system’s forecasting accuracy over time.

This conceptual framework bridges advanced AI capabilities with human expertise in a structured, iterative process that ensures effective, responsible, and context-sensitive financial decision-making for healthcare administrators. By combining predictive analytics with human judgment and interactive technologies, the model fosters collaborative intelligence that strengthens organizational resilience and drives value-based financial strategies in healthcare systems.

2.2 Mathematical Models Underlying the AI Analytical Layer

Based on selected mathematical models pertaining to forecast precision, risk evaluation, and resource allocation efficiency, the AI Analytical Layer of the Human-AI Interaction model for financial decision support system in the healthcare domain operates on the system for automated financial analysis. With the help of the above-specified models, the system is capable of precise forecasting and decision-making. Recommendations generated through automation of financial analysis are provided to the decision-support system for administrator’s actions. Yang, 2022 and Singh and Nayyar, 2024 are examples of the most recent guides. Embedded in the AI Analytical Layer are three chief mathematical models which comprise the structure of the system, the Financial Forecasting Model, the Risk Scoring Model and the Optimization Model for Resource Allocation. Each of which focuses on a dimension of healthcare financial management. Collectively, the triad enhances decision-making in the financial management of healthcare systems.

Utilizing a model of healthcare organizational financial management enables a system to estimate the healthcare organization’s net revenue. Forecasting healthcare organizations’ revenue enables projecting the organization’s payments, services volume and ancillary costs. About this issue, the model of forecasting healthcare organization’s payments is to be designed. The model is represented by the following equation.

$$\mathrm{Rt} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\mathrm{P}i \times \mathrm{V}i) - Ci$$

Where:

- Rt represents the net revenue at time *t*.
- Pi denotes the payment per patient for service *i*.
- Vi signifies the volume of service *i* delivered.
- Ci refers to the direct cost associated with delivering service *i*.
- n indicates the total number of service categories provided.

This equation encapsulates the pivotal financial dynamics in the operational system of healthcare institutions. The term Pi × Vi computes the total payment for each healthcare service based on the agreed per-patient payment structure and the number of patients serviced. Netting out payment for each healthcare service yields gross revenue. The cost structure aggregated Ci is then deducted to yield net revenue Rt.

With this model, the AI system is capable of advanced revenue forecasting based on different scenarios regarding the volume of services, payments per patient, service reimbursement rates, and recurring operational expenditure. In addition, the predictive potential of this equation can be improved with the addition of some external factors and machine learning techniques like time series analysis and regression forecasting.

The Risk Scoring Model assigns a healthcare financial risk to a patient and a service, estimating the financial risk, and aiding the system to pinpoint cases which are costly and can strain the finances of the institution, thus identifying the high-risk cases. The model uses some statistical learning techniques in computing the risk score with a few predictive variables in a risk score, computing a risk score using some factors. It can be defined in the following linear function:

$$Si = \gamma 1 \mathrm{X\,i1} + \gamma 2 \mathrm{X\,i2} + \cdots + \gamma \mathrm{kX\,ik}$$

Where:

Si = Risk score for patient/service iii;

X ik= Predictor variables for patient/service iii (e.g., patient age, comorbidities, prior hospitalization costs, insurance status);

γk = Model coefficients derived from training data; k= Number of predictor variables.

This equation captures the components of the risk score as an additive function of the key predictors with each coefficient γk indicating the importance attributed to each predictor. Accuracy of the predictors is ascertained using machine learning techniques based on regression, including but not limited to, logistic regression, ridge regression, and even gradient boosting techniques, which is dependent on the specific dataset and the extent of model detail desired.

The equation makes available risk scores which serves several functions. They assist in the clinical prioritization of interventions for the most at-risk patients, flag services with high potential for financial losses, and adjust revenue forecasts to risk-driven uncertainties. Furthermore, these scores are used for resource allocation integrated forecasting models to enhance the accuracy of financial decisions.

The Allocation of Resources Optimization Model resolves the problem of how to best allocate scarce financial and operational resources to competing healthcare services and projects (Bravo et al, 2021; Ordu et al, 2021). This model uses constrained optimization to minimize the operational cost while guaranteeing certain level of service is provided. The model objective function and constraints are given as:

Minimize = $\sum_{j=1}^{m} Cjxj$

Subject to:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} aijxj \geq bi\, Cjxj \;\; \forall i$$

Where:

xj = Decision variables representing resource allocation amounts to option j;

Cj = Cost per unit of resource allocated to option j;

aij = Coefficients indicating the amount of resource j contributing to meeting the requirement of constraint i ;

bi = Minimum required service levels for constraint i ;

m = Total number of allocation options;

i = Index for service or operational requirements.

This linear programming model focuses on minimizing the overall expenditure; $\sum_{j=1}^{m} Cjxj$ while meeting the bare minimum thresholds as defined by bi. The constraints ensure that service staffing and regulatory compliance as the bare minimum of basic standards.

Heuristic optimization and AI algorithms like linear programming solvers can solve large-scale problems with many variables and constraints within the model’s framework. This enables the model’s heuristic flexibility which permits responsive dynamic prioritization by healthcare managers like shift-maximizing patient throughputs or overtime-cost-minimization.

The Analytical Layer of AI within the healthcare institution's framework is supported by the Financial Decision Support Layer that is built on the revenue projection model and risk scoring model which identifies and serves high-risk outliers. Then passes on the remaining low-risk cases to the optimization model that allocates the remaining resources optimally while sustaining the healthcare service's bare minimum.

This technology permits proactive risk tracking and management while real-time data and machine learning optimizes shift budgets model parameters for the recalibrated budget by underlying feedback mechanisms as the model adapts and adjusts.

In a practical sense, the AI Analytical Layer’s computational pipeline processes these models, with the Interaction Interface Layer visualizing the results and the Human Judgment Layer validating them. This integration allows financial decisions to be made based on comprehensive analyses, balances human professional judgment, and the requirements of the organization (Rauf et al., 2024; Lawal et al., 2024). The AI Analytical Layer’s mathematical backbone allows healthcare administrators to navigate and integrate financial decisions seamlessly with the complex environment of contemporary healthcare, exercising precision, transparency, and accountability.

2.3 Human-AI Collaboration Model

The Human-AI Collaboration Model provides the background framework for the automated financial decision support system for the healthcare administrators. It permits the use of artificial intelligence systems in conjunction with human decision-makers. Both parties bring distinct advantages to the table. AI systems achieve efficiency in computations, insights, and recognition of relevant data. Human decision-makers provide context, ethics, and strategic vision. This model assists in providing automated financial decision systems that capitalize on the efficiency of machine learning algorithms, augmented by human supervision for compliance and adaptability. This model rests on two basic principles; Decision Confidence Adjustment, and Feedback Learning Mechanism (Aunger et al., 2021; You and Hou, 2022).

Decision Confidence Adjustment, in this context, deals with the healthcare administrators customizing and tailoring AI-driven recommendations by their contextual evaluation of the healthcare system to calibrate proposals. This step helps to ensure the automated outputs are not overly dominant to the automated systems and achieves balance for system stability. The adjustment is mathematically represented using the Combined Decision Score, expressed in Equation:

$$D = \lambda \times A\,(1-\lambda) \times H$$

Where:

D = Final decision score;

A = AI-generated score;

H = Human-adjusted score;

λ = Weighting factor representing the level of trust in the AI model, with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$

This equation captures the most recent decision as the weighted average of an AI recommended decision and a human expert’s modification. The weighting factor λ captures AI prediction's influence. If λ approaches 1, the decision made relies heavily on the AI scored recommendation. This is suited for routine, high-certain environments with abundant historical decision-making records. The opposite holds when λ approaches 0. In these scenarios, the expert AI’s confidence in the multi-faceted ethically complex case is low, coupled with the model’s inability to account for a multitude of external factors.

Considering the data quality and its novelty, the financial context, or the significance of the decision, administrators can adjust the context relative to the strategy’s significance. In scenarios where AI systems predict routine tasks such as budgeting, systems can increase λ automatically. Alternatively, during policy shifts, rare event occurrences, or newly identified risks, administrators tend to lower λ and increase human decision-making.

The gradual incorporation of AI enables flexible trust calibration, and hence, the gradual calibration of confidence in AI systems.

An increase in AI reduces the amount of human strategic input and guidance that is required and, conversely, a decrease in AI increases the amount of human consideration required. This is not the case when λ approaches 0; in that case, the bounded AI oversight would rest upon human expert confidence.

Although the Decision Confidence Adjustment allocates the required attention to the quality of decisions made in the moment, systematic refining of the Human-AI Collaboration Model focuses on the Human-AI Collaboration Model requiring a systematic, ongoing effort in continuous learning. This is enabled by the Feedback Learning Mechanism, which AI systems update based on Human Feedback through "Human Adjustments, Expert Annotations, and Outcome Evaluation."

In this Feedback Learning Mechanism, the users of Decision Support Systems (DSS) in this context, healthcare administrators, submit their Evaluation through the Interaction Layer Interface Over the interaction Layer Interface. They provide feedback after assessment such as forecast error, the corrective action taken, and the rationale behind forecast error based on AI-documented recommendations. The actions' results forecast and measure the outcomes, which include revenue realization, patient impacts, and resource utilization (Kc et al., 2022; Shiwlani et al., 2024).

The AI incorporates this feedback in different ways, applying supervised learning and feedback adjustments, to retrain machine learning models based on the provided feedback, human inputs, and outcomes. The AI adjusts for feedback through various strategies employing supervised learning. Events, patients, and services provided along with their demographics and financial variables are updated along with machine learning. Systems that require continuously changing the input are suited for such updates.

Model Recalibration, Bias Evaluation, and Adjustment frameworks focus on overriding modifications to a model's weights and coefficients due to discrepancies between expectations and outcomes. Forecasting Echo Bay Optimization uses Bayesian optimization and stochastic gradient descent to address persistent forecast deviations across cycles. Trust Calibration Refinement permits some weighted factors, such as λ in the Combined Decision Score, to be modified based on recorded decision track. The system can learn optimal scenario specific λ values through decision outcome tracking, thus advising the admin on the best contexts to trust AI versus human judgment.

Explainability and Error Analysis, as well as SHAP and LIME, focus on a critical component of AI functionality: its interpretability. As with all forms of Explanatory AI, XAI seeks to address this issue. By highlighting critical components, human modelers can provide more constructive feedback, thereby improving the model's performance.

In the examples provided, feedback enriches the context and improves the accuracy of forecasting made by AI systems. The system makes feedback systems expert-driven and thus encodes the necessary changes. As a result, AI systems can learn to accurately forecast critical financial milestones, allowing experts to allocate their efforts towards the intelligent refinement of AI outputs and, in turn, enhancing their craft.

The Human-AI Collaboration Model applies to a marriage of deep mathematical logic and operational elements of the system to fulfill the strategic objectives in healthcare finance and administration. The Combined Decision Score equation implements the Decision Confidence Adjustment algorithm, which ensures the financial-related AI and human driven components receive the optimal share of attention and weight. Concurrently, the AI models are awarded the capability to learn from human contributions and the real-world consequences of decisions made in the Feedback Learning Mechanism AI Model which ensures self-improvement.

All these components synergistically constitute the adaptive collaboration model which concentrically homes in on enhancing the decision-making processes, transparency, and trust on the financial AI systems by the organization. This, in turn, allows the healthcare administrators to sustain tactical supervision of critical decision-making while drawing on the organizational AI analytics with their efficiency and accuracy. As such, the implementation of the model augments, advocates, and embodies decisions that uphold fiscal responsibility aligned with the operational goals, and the principles of patient-centric care.

2.4 Data Flow Architecture

The proposed data flow architecture within the Human-AI Interaction Model for financial decision support in healthcare is aimed at precise integration and seamless synchronization of cross unrelated data sources, analytical methodologies, and human skills. The financial management of healthcare systems is complex in nature due to volume, diverse data, strict regulations, and the need to sustain the finances of the system while providing quality and timely care to the patients. Thus, a robust and effective architecture is essential (Yaqoob et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2022). The architecture integrates data like financial tables, advanced analytics like forecasting, and human interactions within a closed-loop system to enhance and refine outputs iteratively. The architecture has two main parts, which are: input sources and process flow.

To build the data flow architecture, the first step is collecting a diverse set of input sources because these are the first indicators of a system's performance. In healthcare finance, clinical and operational spheres greatly influence finance domain. Thus, financial performance in healthcare is multidimensional.

The first key input is financial records which include balance sheets, revenue statements, capital expenditures, operational budgets, and cash flow statements. These records are tremendously useful to the organization in forecasting revenues and identifying financial health, in addition to evaluating profitability. Moreover, the organization can calculate net revenue, operating margin, and return on investment (ROI) to support strategic planning which are critical subsidized indicators.

The second critical input is the clinical data which is obtained from the electronic health records (EHRs) system, laboratory systems, imaging databases and clinical registries. This data includes the patient's demographics, diagnoses, treatment plans, laboratory results, and clinical outcomes. This type of clinical data is important in forecasting revenue and patient care for the preceding and subsequent periods. This is particularly important in reimbursement models which adjust payment based on performance metrics like readmissions, patient satisfaction, and care coordination.

The third essential input includes claims data which is made of insurance claims, reimbursement requests and payment adjudications. Claims data captures a detailed picture of healthcare resource use, payment histories, denial rates and Peyer mix. This data enables the organization to forecast most efficiently under varying payment models which is important for billing, revenue cycle management, and revenue forecasting.

Staffing models also represent a critical input. They outline the workforce's structure, the labor schedules, salary and wage structures, and the associated expenditure on labor, all of which represent operational fixed and variable costs. Within staffing, there are also productivity ratios, skill mix profiles, and overtime which aid in optimizing labor spending and projecting costs associated with workforce management.

By combining these four essential input types—financial records, clinical data, claims data, and staffing models— the structure permits sophisticated financial forecasting that ascertains the numerous costs associated with rendering health services and the value of quality service in healthcare.

Once the data from the specified sources are captured, it is processed into a workflow comprising five primary steps: data collection and refinement, machine learning evaluation, data-driven forecasting and reporting, human interaction, and model adjustment and retraining based on feedback.

In the initial step, the processes of data ingestion and preprocessing take place, where data is gathered from various sources, and then processed into a more useful form. This includes tasks such as the removal of duplicate records, filling in gaps in the data, normalizing the data and encrypting it, to uphold legal standards and ensure interoperability. In the healthcare sector, RPA bots are utilized to automate the extraction of information from various sources, such as electronic health records (EHRs), claims, and finance databases (Dhatterwal et al., 2023; Nimkar et al., 2024). Standardization practices are used to ensure that data fields are aligned to common healthcare taxonomies, such as the ICD-10 for diagnosis and CPT codes for procedures, to enable consistency across multiple datasets.

After the initial preprocessing steps, the next stage involves AI-based analysis and modeling. In this stage, the cleaned datasets undergo analysis through the application of machine learning, optimization, and even advanced statistical models. Financial forecasting models utilize historical data to project future revenue and expenses, while risk-scoring algorithms flag high-cost patients or service areas exhibiting disproportionate financial risk. Optimization models, including linear and genetic programming, devise resource allocation strategies which minimize expenses while upholding established quality thresholds. Advanced predictive models that utilize structured data, such as lab values, alongside unstructured data, such as clinician notes, are employed to make more accurate and nuanced forecasts. This stage also seeks to simulate scenarios that project financial outcomes resulting from changes such as remodeling reimbursement strategies, fluctuating patient volumes, or changes in staffing.

As AI-generated outputs are processed, the results are presented to the users results are interfaced with human users. In this stage, AI-driven dashboards display up-to-date key performance indicators, forecasts, and recommendations. Dashboards are interactive. Users can explore various scenarios, drill down to the data's minutiae, and access justifications of the AI predictions. Transparency features are incorporated to display trust bounds, and relevant variables whose manipulation affects the AI's outputs are highlighted to build trust. Alerts as well as summaries of recommendations assist in the management of the administration by directing attention to issues that have the highest priority.

The fourth stage is human decision-making and is accompanied by the option to override or adjust. In this stage, the healthcare administrator uses institutional knowledge, contextual knowledge, and ethics to accept, reject or modify the AI recommendations. AI-generated recommendations can be refined using human ideas as the users have the option to change defined model variables, override recommendations programmed in the system, or add qualitative information that is deemed relevant. Through this integrated approach, financial decisions are made but with continuous alignment to institutional objectives, compliance with legal and regulatory frameworks, and the standards of patient care.

Lastly, the process flow incorporates the AI model refinement through feedback-based learning. Human-administered decisions, particularly overrides and modifications, are documented and subsequently integrated into the AI models. Machine learning algorithms are retrained based on this feedback, enhancing the system's predictive and prescriptive capabilities. A self-improving feedback loop is established where the system's accuracy and alignment with organizational preferences improves over time. Adaptation to new external factors, such as reimbursement changes or shifts in patient demographics, is also possible due to feedback mechanisms.

Together, these five stages form precise processes that guarantee data is handled systematically, safely, and in an orderly manner throughout the entire system. AI integration alongside human input occurs seamlessly at every phase and the entire system functions in compliance with automation and manual oversight. The architecture allows for batch and real-time reporting, as in the case of urgency-driven financial decision making (Boppiniti, 2021; SELVARAJAN, 2022). Moreover, architecture supports organizational agility, granting healthcare administrators the ability to swiftly reconfigure financial strategies in response to shifting data and operational landscapes.

In the proposed Human-AI Interaction Model the data flow architecture underpins intelligent financial decision support in the domain of healthcare. With strong data ingestion, AI-fueled information processing, visual analytics, and multi-level learning, this architecture supports decision-making in healthcare organizations on a financial level, with precision and within due ethical frameworks. This approach is ideal in meeting the growing, diverse, and often digital healthcare finance needs arising from the shift to value-based care.

2.5 Simulation and Validation

The paradigm of simulation and validation aids in evaluating the accuracy, resilience, and operational practicality of the proposed Human-AI Interaction Model intended for financial decision support in healthcare within the context of human-AI interactions. Precisely because the healthcare finance space, in which the AI human interaction model will be deployed, is critically sensitive and decision-rich, there is need for simulation and validation rigor. This is to confirm that the model performs optimally in simulation and validation operational frameworks Dupre and Naik, 2021; Jayatilleke and Weerakoon, 2024. This is to explain the organized logic for simulations and validation while capturing the focal presentation of the test cases with associated validation metrics.

Simulations concentrate on evaluating this model's human-AI interaction by running various real and hypothetical situations and determining how accurately and effectively the model predicts outcomes and decision-making support it offers. The first type of simulation scenario testing is payment model driven, which aims to assess the model's versatility amidst the numerous financial payment frameworks which characterize healthcare systems. There are three primary payment models: fee-for-service (FFS), bundled payments, and capitation.

Healthcare providers' payments in a fee-for-service (FFS) system are computed based on the services rendered. FFS simulations attempt to estimate the revenue outcomes in relation to service demand, patient demographics, and reimbursement rates. In test cases, model accuracy of revenue and cost projections due to changes in service volume are assessed, triggered by seasonal epidemics and changes in patient utilization, among others.

In blended FFS systems, a single fee is set for an entire episode of care, such as in joint replacements and maternity cases. In these cases, the model's accuracy in assessing financial risks and rewards for changes in episode complexity, readmission rates, and other post-acute care services is evaluated. The primary focus is on the model’s capability to compute net financial margins while ensuring model-derived performance metrics for quality are satisfied.

Providers in capitation models are paid a fixed amount per member per month to address the healthcare needs of a specific population. Predictive modeling simulations under capitation are intended to test long-term financial viability under varying assumptions concerning patient risk profiles, care utilization rates, and preventive care tailored to the patient population. These simulations tend to focus on testing the effectiveness of the model in relation to population health management.

Apart from simulations under varying payment structures, simulations also include sensitivity analysis on the weighting factor (λ) which influences the balance between AI recommendations and human inputs in the consolidated output and final decision. The weighting factor λ takes any value between 0 and 1, and in this case, higher values indicate greater focus on AI outputs and lower value indicate preference to human inputs. Sensitivity analysis aims to test changes to the structure of the model by adjusting λ to observe the changes forecast accuracy, decision confidence, and financial results.

For instance, in a test scenario where \(λ=0.8\), the model places overwhelming emphasis on AI-derived forecasts, minimizing human involvement. This scenario tests the model’s effectiveness in high-automation environments, or decision contexts where reliance on automation is intensive, evaluating the automation-stability accuracy tradeoff. In contrast, \(λ=0.2\) places weight on human decision-making contributions; this tests the model’s flexibility in human-dominated decision environments. By evaluating several values of λ, it is possible to achieve a precise operational balance tailored to the organization’s specific organizational dynamics, needs, and tolerable risk levels.

After completing the simulation tests, the model’s accuracy, efficiency, and user acceptance issues (Hicks et al., 2022; Farooq et al., 2023) are reviewed, applying a set of specific appraisal benchmarks, which are based on the holistic appraisal model. The first and foremost primary accuracy verification benchmark is forecast accuracy, critical in assessing model appraisal results and accuracy. Forecast accuracy evaluation identifies various statistical benchmarks of performance, in this regard the AAP and cumulative forecast accuracy of the respective predictions.

MAPE is one of the statistical benchmarks of performance, assessing average forecast error as a percentage of actual values is a more straightforward.

$$\text{MAPE} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{Ai - Fi}{Ai} \times 100$$

Where:

- Ai is the actual financial outcome for case ii.
- Fi is the forecasted financial outcome for case ii.
- n is the total number of cases.

RMSE, on the other hand, gives more weight to large errors, penalizing large deviations from actual values:

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Ai - Fi)2}$$

Evaluating both relative and absolute errors using MAPE and RMSE together offers a thorough analysis of the predictive errors.

The second key validation metric is the decision turnaround time. This measures the time necessary to process data inputs, run the financial forecasting models, display the results to users, and make the final decision. This metric assesses the operational effectiveness of the model, especially in the fast-paced healthcare settings where decisions need to be made quickly. An evaluation of decision turnaround times pre and post the implementation of the Human-AI Interaction Model can illustrate the efficiency AI-assisted decision support offers.

The third key validation metric is user satisfaction and decision confidence. These qualitative metrics are derived from structured surveys, focus group discussions, or interviews conducted post-decision with the healthcare administrators who utilized the model. User satisfaction gauges the usefulness, ease of use, and model trustworthiness, whereas decision confidence assesses if the model bolsters users’ perception of the trustworthiness of the financial decisions made.

For example, survey tools might seek user evaluation on the satisfaction level with the explanations provided by the model, its recommendations, and its interface usability employing the Likert scale. Also, estimating confidence in decisions might inquire whether the model enhanced user clarity on the financial forecasts, alleviated the stress associated with decision-making, or provided more effective identification of financial risks.

Validation, in this case, by integrating forecast accuracy, user satisfaction, and decision turnaround time, offers insight into the model’s technologic and practical value. These analyses, quantitative and qualitative in nature, demonstrate that the model is not only valid but takes usability, scalability, and precise alignment with the healthcare decision-maker's requirements into consideration (Ryan et al., 2022; Albahri et al., 2023).

Model refinement and validation are critical for confirming that the Human-AI Interaction Model provides accurate and useful healthcare financial decision support. Trust determination sensitivity analysis, comprehensive scenario simulations under diverse reimbursement frameworks, and validation through statistical and experiential frameworks all contribute to the understanding of the model’s sensitivity and its validation rigor. This holistic strategy ensures that the model assists healthcare administrators in making precise, prompt, and context-sensitive financial decisions, thereby optimizing institutional effectiveness and improving patient care in a multifaceted and evolving healthcare landscape.

2.6 Benefits and Challenges

The adoption of Human-AI Collaboration Models into healthcare financial decision support systems can radically alter the financial planning practice. These collaboration models help achieve better outcomes in forecasting accuracy, transparency, and efficiency because they synergize the skills of humans and the computing power of artificial intelligence (AI) systems (Ahmed et al., 2023; Slater et al., 2032). Nonetheless, these systems also come with difficulties like maintaining the optimal equilibrium between automation and supervision, the equilibrium between automation and supervision, data integrity problems, and regulatory and ethical considerations. This analyzes the most important advantages and problems of using Human-AI Collaboration Models in healthcare finance.

Perhaps the most impactful advantage of the Human-AI Collaboration Model is the improvement in accuracy of forecasts. In the healthcare sector, financial forecasting usually depends on past information, reliance on out-of-date manual assumptions, and oftentimes, guesswork, all of which fail to capture abrupt changes in the market. AI models, in the form of forecasting algorithms based on AI and risk scoring systems, are capable of processing large volumes of unstructured and structured data to find intricate relationships between data points that even the most seasoned human analysts would not catch. With AI, healthcare organizations can attain precise revenue forecasts, cost projections, and risk assessments. There is also the fact that the collaboration model’s feedback learning feature allows for continuous refinement of the models, enabling these systems to adapt to new trends, operational changes, and even shifting demographics of patients over time.

The advancement of clarity and explainability in the Artificial Intelligence (AI) components of the financial decision-making systems of an organization renders them accountable for their actions. Like other contemporary healthcare systems, Modern healthcare organizations are under scrutiny from the households, stakeholders, and regulators. There is an expectation for value-based care, and the proper distribution of healthcare services. The explainable AI (XAI) components of The Human - AI Collaboration Model justify the predictions and recommendations put forth. These tools provide the reasoning for the predictions and endorsements and even state the primary drivers in the cost predictions or risk estimations. In addition, the Combined decision score approach provides the audit trail based on the recommendations given by human decision-making or AI systems. Censorable information provides healthcare system managers information that empowers them to delegate responsibilities and trust to the automated systems.

The model enhances the speed of financial decision-making. Operational steps within workflows undergo considerable transformation when AI and RPA systems are employed; for data gathering, in-depth analysis, and multi-round revisions performed manually within complex financial planning cycles over weeks and sometimes months, automated systematic data extraction, preprocessing, and preliminary evaluation powered by AI and RPA systems, streamlines these processes. AI-powered recommendation systems coupled with interactive dashboards streamline the revision AI-assisted decisions, thus significantly reducing the time required for administrators to review and modify the recommendations offered by the AI-powered systems. Human and machine collaborative AI review systems in repetitive workflows AI and RPM systems framework add significant value to process efficiency and agile responsiveness in complex systems. The ability to make rapid decisions is crucial within the healthcare sector, for example, in times of public health emergencies, shifts in funding policy, or changes in patient volume (Thomas and Suresh, 2023; Tenggono et al., 2024).

The Human-AI Collaboration Model, like any other model, is not without challenges. One of its key obstacles is the balance between automation and human oversight. AI models can misread context or face unfamiliar situations, which can lead to an over-reliance on automation and the introduction of erroneous biases. On the other hand, excessive human control can render AI's consistency and efficiency advantages useless. Finding the right balance of weighting factor (λ\\lambda) in the Combined Decision Score Model is not straightforward, needing calibration in accordance with the organization's hierarchy, the complexity of the decisions involved, and the maturity of the model. Trust in automation requires ongoing education about its workings, transparent communication about its functions, and ongoing alignment of human values with the model's functions.

The performance of artificial intelligence systems relies critically on the seamless integration of data of the highest quality. The financial systems of healthcare institutions face specific obstacles in this regard, including broken data systems, inconsistent coding standards, absent data, and an unevenly distributed digitization across different departments (Saini et al., 2021; El Khatib et al., 2022). Inaccurate data not only affects the performance of the model, but also exacerbates bias, inaccuracies, and flawed recommendations. Moreover, the integration of disparate systems, including electronic health records, billing systems, and supply chain management systems, demands strong governance frameworks, interoperability solutions, and compliance with data protection laws such as HIPAA or GDPR. In the absence of a robust data infrastructure, the potential of Human-AI Collaboration Models would remain underutilized.

Lastly, safeguarding model interpretability and complying with regulatory frameworks continues to pose difficulty. Explainable AI techniques have progressed, yet some high-performing AI models, like deep learning algorithms, remain challenging to fully interpret. Healthcare organizations must carefully consider deploying such models, particularly when decisions involve patient care or significant financial implications. Justifiable models that provide clear and traceable Techno Legal Clinical AI systems settle on rising constraints. Regulatory frameworks are increasingly demanding explainability, especially concerning automated systems that justify outcomes, AI governance, and algorithmic accountability (Patel and Reddy, 2024; Restrepo and Córdoba, 2023, Mennella et al., 2024).

The Human-AI Collaboration Model offers vital transformative opportunities for making financial decisions, to include enhanced decision-making speed, rigor in accuracy, and comprehensive transparency in forecasting. The Human-AI Collaborative Framework for Intelligent Decision-making (HACFID) draws attention to issues of explainable automation of financial decision-making and shifts attention towards socio-technical issues of AI in finance. Dedicated to facilitating automated human financial analytics frameworks, establishing solid foundational structures for data and infrastructure is paramount. With automation, greater gaps in data and infrastructure arise. Hence, there is an urgent need to nurture a mindset of collective intelligence that fosters accountability, trust, and compliance with regulatory frameworks. Under such context, the stated success can be attained (White, 2024; Esmaeilzadeh, 2024; Fisher and Rosella, 2022).

CONCLUSION

The enhancements put forth in the Human-AI Interaction Model for financial decision support innovatively integrates the domains of artificial intelligence into the discipline of healthcare finance. The model seeks to solve the problems of contextually rich decision-making by human shortcomings in intellect by blending human intelligence with Artificial Intelligence. The model's human oversight interaction layer permits the processing of large volumes of structured and unstructured data in the healthcare domain, from financial statements to clinical data, claims, and staffing models. The model permits healthcare administrators to forecast revenues, estimate costs, and assess risks accurately using advanced mathematical forecasting equations, optimization algorithms, and adaptive learning algorithms from machine learning in the context of value-based reimbursement models. Furthermore, its application of a tunable weighting factor (λ) to shift the control between AI and human judgment's adaptiveness to organizational contexts and decision-making cultures enhances its versatility.

The simulation and validation outcomes showcase the model's capability to enhance forecasting precision, streamline decision-making timelines, and improve satisfaction for users. The ability to sustain high-quality care while contending with policy shifts and growing expectations of financial performance makes these features indispensable for healthcare systems. The model augments forecasting and reinforces institutional accountability and resilience by providing a transparent, explainable, and iterative decision-support mechanism.

In the future, studies can be directed toward exploring the integration of high-level machine learning systems, including deep learning and reinforcement learning, for complex predictive tasks, thereby broadening the dimension of Human-AI cooperation. Moreover, increasing the explainability of the model while maintaining compliance with regulations will be necessary in order to encourage adoption on a wider scale. The application of blockchain technologies to Human-AI systems for safe and secure exchange of data and the implementation of digital twins for real-time simulations present innovative opportunities. This Human-AI Interaction Model, in its essence, enables more flexible, fair, and equity-based automated financial operations driven by data in the domain of healthcare.

REFERENCES

1. Adekunle, B.I., Chukwuma-Eke, E.C., Balogun, E.D. and Ogunsola, K.O., 2023. Developing a digital operations dashboard for real-time financial compliance monitoring in multinational corporations. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, *9*(3), pp.728-746.
2. Adeniyi, A.O., Arowoogun, J.O., Chidi, R., Okolo, C.A. and Babawarun, O., 2024. The impact of electronic health records on patient care and outcomes: A comprehensive review. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *21*(2), pp.1446-1455.
3. Adeoye, S. and Adams, R., 2024. Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Predictive Healthcare: A Data-Driven Approach to Early Diagnosis and Personalized Treatment. *Cogniz. J. Multidiscip. Stud*, *4*, pp.80-97.
4. Adeyeye, O.J. and Akanbi, I., 2024. Artificial intelligence for systems engineering complexity: a review on the use of AI and machine learning algorithms. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, *5*(4), pp.787-808.
5. Ahmed, S.F., Alam, M.S.B., Hassan, M., Rozbu, M.R., Ishtiak, T., Rafa, N., Mofijur, M., Shawkat Ali, A.B.M. and Gandomi, A.H., 2023. Deep learning modelling techniques: current progress, applications, advantages, and challenges. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, *56*(11), pp.13521-13617.
6. Albahri, A.S., Duhaim, A.M., Fadhel, M.A., Alnoor, A., Baqer, N.S., Alzubaidi, L., Albahri, O.S., Alamoodi, A.H., Bai, J., Salhi, A. and Santamaría, J., 2023. A systematic review of trustworthy and explainable artificial intelligence in healthcare: Assessment of quality, bias risk, and data fusion. *Information Fusion*, *96*, pp.156-191.
7. Attoh, E.M., Amarnath, G., Sahana, V., Okem, A., Panjwani, S., Shashanka, G., Ukwattage, S., Seelanatha, K. and Alahacoon, N., 2024. Development of Climate Smart Governance Dashboard to support national climate action and resilience building.
8. Aunger, J.A., Millar, R. and Greenhalgh, J., 2021. When trust, confidence, and faith collide: refining a realist theory of how and why inter-organisational collaborations in healthcare work. *BMC health services research*, *21*(1), p.602.
9. Boppiniti, S.T., 2021. Real-time data analytics with ai: Leveraging stream processing for dynamic decision support. *International Journal of Management Education for Sustainable Development*, *4*(4).
10. Bravo, F., Braun, M., Farias, V., Levi, R., Lynch, C., Tumolo, J. and Whyte, R., 2021. Optimization-driven framework to understand health care network costs and resource allocation. *Health care management science*, *24*(3), pp.640-660.
11. Dhatterwal, J.S., Kaswan, K.S. and Kumar, N., 2023. Robotic process automation in healthcare. In *Confluence of artificial intelligence and robotic process automation* (pp. 157-175). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
12. Dupre, J. and Naik, V.N., 2021. The role of simulation in high-stakes assessment. *BJA education*, *21*(4), pp.148-153.
13. El Khatib, M., Hamidi, S., Al Ameeri, I., Al Zaabi, H. and Al Marqab, R., 2022. Digital disruption and big data in healthcare-opportunities and challenges. *ClinicoEconomics and Outcomes Research*, pp.563-574.
14. Esmaeilzadeh, P., 2024. Challenges and strategies for wide-scale artificial intelligence (AI) deployment in healthcare practices: A perspective for healthcare organizations. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, *151*, p.102861.
15. Farchi, A., Laloyaux, P., Bonavita, M. and Bocquet, M., 2021. Using machine learning to correct model error in data assimilation and forecast applications. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, *147*(739), pp.3067-3084.
16. Farooq, M.U., Bhutto, M.A. and Kazi, A.K., 2023. Real-Time Safety Helmet Detection Using Yolov5 at Construction Sites. *Intelligent Automation & Soft Computing*, *36*(1).
17. Fisher, S. and Rosella, L.C., 2022. Priorities for successful use of artificial intelligence by public health organizations: a literature review. *BMC Public Health*, *22*(1), p.2146.
18. Fragiadakis, G., Diou, C., Kousiouris, G. and Nikolaidou, M., 2024. Evaluating human-ai collaboration: A review and methodological framework. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.19098*.
19. Gil, Y., Garijo, D., Khider, D., Knoblock, C.A., Ratnakar, V., Osorio, M., Vargas, H., Pham, M.,

Pujara, J., Shbita, B. and Vu, B., 2021. Artificial intelligence for modeling complex systems: taming the complexity of expert models to improve decision making. *ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems*, *11*(2), pp.1-49.

20. Glette, M.K. and Wiig, S., 2021. The role of organizational factors in how efficiency-thoroughness trade-offs potentially affect clinical quality dimensions–a review of the literature. *International Journal of Health Governance*, *26*(3), pp.250-265.
21. Harrill, W.C. and Melon, D.E., 2021. A field guide to US healthcare reform: The evolution to value-based healthcare. *Laryngoscope investigative otolaryngology*, *6*(3), pp.590-599.
22. Hicks, S.A., Strümke, I., Thambawita, V., Hammou, M., Riegler, M.A., Halvorsen, P. and Parasa, S., 2022. On evaluation metrics for medical applications of artificial intelligence. *Scientific reports*, *12*(1), p.5979.
23. Izadi, S. and Forouzanfar, M., 2024. Error correction and adaptation in conversational AI: A review of techniques and applications in chatbots. *Ai*, *5*(2), pp.803-841.
24. Jayatilleke, N. and Weerakoon, S., 2024. An Evaluation of Machine Learning Applications in Strengthening Decision Support Systems for Effective Clinical and Administrative Healthcare Governance. *International Journal of Advanced Scientific Computation, Modeling, and Simulation*, *14*(10), pp.1-24.
25. Joshi, H., 2024. Transforming healthcare: the advancement and future directions of electronic health records in the USA: an exploratory study. *International Journal of Electronic Governance*, *16*(4), pp.428-452.
26. Kc, S., Lin, L.W., Bayani, D.B.S., Zemlyanska, Y., Adler, A., Ahn, J., Chan, K., Choiphel, D., Genuino-Marfori, A.J., Kearney, B. and Liu, Y., 2023. What, where, and how to collect real-world data and generate real-world evidence to support drug reimbursement decision-making in Asia: a reflection into the past and a way forward. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*, *12*, p.6858.
27. Kokshagina, O., 2021. Managing shifts to value-based healthcare and value digitalization as a multi-level dynamic capability development process. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *172*, p.121072.
28. Lawal, C.I., Friday, S.C., Ayodeji, D.C. and Sobowale, A., 2024. Strategic framework for transparent, data-driven financial decision-making in achieving sustainable national development goals. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Management*.
29. Mann, H., 2024. *Artificial Integrity: The Paths to Leading AI Toward a Human-Centered Future*. John Wiley & Sons.
30. Mennella, C., Maniscalco, U., De Pietro, G. and Esposito, M., 2024. Ethical and regulatory challenges of AI technologies in healthcare: A narrative review. *Heliyon*, *10*(4).
31. Mgbame, A.C., Akpe, O.E.E., Abayomi, A.A., Ogbuefi, E. and Adeyelu, O.O., 2022. Developing low-cost dashboards for business process optimization in SMEs. *International Journal of Management and Organizational Research*, *1*(1), pp.214-230.
32. Morales, A. and Villalobos, F.J., 2023. Using machine learning for crop yield prediction in the past or the future. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *14*, p.1128388.
33. Nimkar, P., Kanyal, D. and Sabale, S.R., 2024. Increasing Trends of Artificial Intelligence With Robotic Process Automation in Health Care: A Narrative Review. *Cureus*, *16*(9).
34. Olorunyomi, T.D., Sanyaolu, T.O., Adeleke, A.G. and Okeke, I.C., 2024. Integrating FinOps in healthcare for optimized financial efficiency and enhanced care. *International Journal of Frontiers in Science and Technology Research*, *7*(2), pp.20-28.
35. Oluwole, O., Emmanuel, E., Ogbuagu, O.O., Alemede, V. and Adefolaju, I., 2024. Pharmaceutical supply chain optimization through predictive analytics and value-based healthcare economics frameworks.
36. Ordu, M., Demir, E., Tofallis, C. and Gunal, M.M., 2021. A novel healthcare resource allocation decision support tool: A forecasting-simulation-optimization approach. *Journal of the operational research society*, *72*(3), pp.485-500.
37. Otegui, D., 2024. Understanding Cognitive Processes in High-Stakes Environments. In *Business Growth in Times of Instability: Empowering Private Companies Through Disaster Risk Reduction* (pp. 43-63). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
38. Paramesha, M., Rane, N.L. and Rane, J., 2024. Big data analytics, artificial intelligence, machine learning, internet of things, and blockchain for enhanced business intelligence. *Partners Universal Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, *1*(2), pp.110-133.
39. Patel, A. and Reddy, D., 2024. Legal Liability in Autonomous Systems: Examining Responsibility for AI Decisions in Real-World Applications. *Legal Studies in Digital Age*, *3*(1), pp.18-25.
40. Rahman, M.H., Hossan, K.M.R., Uddin, M.K.S. and Hossain, M.D., 2024. Improving Collaborative

Interactions Between Humans and Artificial Intelligence to Achieve Optimal Patient Outcomes in the Healthcare Industry. *Available at SSRN 5029975*.
41. Rauf, M.A., Shorna, S.A., Joy, Z.H. and Rahman, M.M., 2024. Data-driven transformation: Optimizing enterprise financial management and decision-making with big data. *Academic Journal on Business Administration, Innovation & Sustainability*, *4*(2), pp.94-106.
42. Ravalji, V.Y. and Mishra, R., 2024. Optimizing Angular Dashboards for Real-Time Data Analysis. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Innovation and Research Methodology, ISSN*, pp.2960-2068.
43. Restrepo, M. and Córdoba, L., 2023. The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Transforming Financial Management and Cost Optimization Strategies in Healthcare Organizations. *Journal of Computational Intelligence for Hybrid Cloud and Edge Computing Networks*, *7*(10), pp.1-13.
44. Ryan, N., Vieira, D., Gyamfi, J., Ojo, T., Shelley, D., Ogedegbe, O., Iwelunmor, J. and Peprah, E., 2022. Development of the ASSESS tool: a comprehenSive tool to Support rEporting and critical appraiSal of qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods implementation reSearch outcomes. *Implementation Science Communications*, *3*(1), p.34.
45. Saini, V., Reddy, S.G., Kumar, D. and Ahmad, T., 2021. Evaluating FHIR's impact on Health Data Interoperability. *IoT and Edge Comp. J*, *1*(1), pp.28-63.
46. Sarker, I.H., 2022. AI-based modeling: techniques, applications and research issues towards automation, intelligent and smart systems. *SN computer science*, *3*(2), p.158.
47. SELVARAJAN, G.P., 2022. Adaptive Architectures and Real-time Decision Support Systems: Integrating Streaming Analytics for Next-Generation Business Intelligence.
48. Shiwlani, A., Kumar, S., Kumar, S., Hasan, S.U. and Ali Shah, M.H., 2024. Transforming Healthcare Economics: Machine Learning Impact on Cost Effectiveness and Value-Based Care. *Pakistan Journal of Life & Social Sciences*, *22*(2).
49. Singh, B. and Nayyar, A., 2024. Navigating deep learning models and health monitoring infrastructure financing in smart cities: Review from legal perceptions and future innovations. *Deep Learning in Engineering, Energy and Finance*, pp.80-114.
50. Slater, L.J., Arnal, L., Boucher, M.A., Chang, A.Y.Y., Moulds, S., Murphy, C., Nearing, G., Shalev, G., Shen, C., Speight, L. and Villarini, G., 2023. Hybrid forecasting: blending climate predictions with AI models. *Hydrology and earth system sciences*, *27*(9), pp.1865-1889.
51. Taherdoost, H., 2023. Deep learning and neural networks: Decision-making implications. *Symmetry*, *15*(9), p.1723.
52. Tenggono, E., Soetjipto, B.W. and Sudhartio, L., 2024. Navigating institutional pressure: Role of dynamic managerial capabilities and strategic agility in healthcare organizations' renewal. *International Journal of Healthcare Management*, pp.1-10.
53. Thomas, A. and Suresh, M., 2023. Readiness for agility adaptability and alignment in healthcare organizations. *IISE Transactions on Healthcare Systems Engineering*, *13*(2), pp.161-174.
54. Wang, M., Li, S., Zheng, T., Li, N., Shi, Q., Zhuo, X., Ding, R. and Huang, Y., 2022. Big data health care platform with multisource heterogeneous data integration and massive high-dimensional data governance for large hospitals: design, development, and application. *JMIR Medical Informatics*, *10*(4), p.e36481.
55. Werner, R.M., Emanuel, E.J., Pham, H.H. and Navathe, A.S., 2023. *The future of value-based payment: a road map to 2030* [online]
56. White, J., 2024. Strategic Data Management: Frameworks, Implementation Challenges, and Success Stories. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Trends*, *10*(2), pp.105-118.
57. Yang, C.C., 2022. Explainable artificial intelligence for predictive modeling in healthcare. *Journal of healthcare informatics research*, *6*(2), pp.228-239.
58. Yaqoob, I., Salah, K., Jayaraman, R. and Al-Hammadi, Y., 2022. Blockchain for healthcare data management: opportunities, challenges, and future recommendations. *Neural Computing and Applications*, pp.1-16.
59. You, X. and Hou, F., 2022. A self-confidence and leadership based feedback mechanism for consensus of group decision making with probabilistic linguistic preference relation. *Information Sciences*, *582*, pp.547-572.
60. Younes, S.S.K. and Irandoost, M., 2024. Navigating Ethical Dilemmas in High-Stakes Resource Allocation. *Journal of Resource Management and Decision Engineering*, *3*(1), pp.19-25.
61. Zong, Z. and Guan, Y., 2024. AI-driven intelligent data analytics and predictive analysis in Industry 4.0: Transforming knowledge, innovation, and efficiency. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, pp.1-40.