Engineering and Technology Journal e-ISSN: 2456-3358

Volume 10 Issue 08 August-2025, Page No.-6167-6184

DOI: 10.47191/etj/v10i08.12, I.F. – 8.482

Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) as a Pillar for Balancing Energy Transition and Climate Goals

Enobong Hanson[1], Chukwuebuka Nwakile[2]

[1]Shell plc, Nigeria

[2]Ecolab, Houston TX, USA

ABSTRACT: The global pursuit of climate change mitigation and energy transition presents a formidable challenge, demanding innovative solutions to significantly reduce carbon emissions while ensuring the continued stability of global energy systems. Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) stands out as a pivotal technology capable of bridging the gap between fossil fuel reliance and the widespread adoption of renewable energy sources. This paper explores the role of CCS in achieving energy transition and climate goals, focusing on its technical mechanisms, applications, and potential to decarbonize hard-to-abate sectors. By capturing carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions from industrial processes, fossil fuel power plants, and even directly from the atmosphere, CCS can prevent large amounts of CO2 from entering the atmosphere, thereby supporting the global effort to limit temperature rise as outlined in the Paris Agreement. The paper provides a detailed review of the three core stages of CCS: capture, transportation, and storage, explaining the technological innovations behind each stage. Various CCS methods, including pre-combustion, post-combustion, and oxy-fuel combustion, are discussed, illustrating the flexibility of CCS technologies across diverse industrial applications, from power generation to heavy industries such as cement, steel, and chemicals. Furthermore, the integration of CCS with renewable energy systems is analyzed, demonstrating how CCS can complement intermittent renewable sources, contributing to grid stability and enhancing energy security. Despite its potential, several barriers hinder the large-scale deployment of CCS, including technological challenges, high costs, and societal concerns about CO2 storage safety. The paper also emphasizes the importance of supportive policies, including carbon pricing, incentives for early-stage projects, and international collaboration, to facilitate the wide-scale adoption of CCS. Global case studies, including successful projects in Canada and Norway, provide valuable insights into best practices for overcoming these barriers and scaling up CCS infrastructure. Finally, the paper explores the future directions for CCS research and policy, emphasizing the importance of ongoing innovation and international investment in advancing CCS technologies. As nations strive to meet their net-zero emissions targets, CCS will likely play a critical role in enabling industries to decarbonize without compromising economic stability or energy access.

KEYWORDS*:* Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS), Energy Transition, Climate Change Mitigation, Carbon Dioxide (CO2), Renewable Energy Systems, Net-Zero Emissions

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Context and Importance of Energy Transition

The global energy system is undergoing a significant transformation as part of the efforts to address climate change, improve energy security, and foster sustainability. This transformation, known as energy transition, involves a comprehensive shift from a system largely reliant on fossil fuels to one based on renewable energy sources and energy efficiency technologies [1]. The fundamental goal of this transition is to reduce the environmental impact of energy production and consumption, particularly in terms of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, which are the primary driver of global warming [2].

Energy transition is crucial because burning fossil fuels for electricity, heat, and transportation remains the largest source of anthropogenic CO2 emissions. According to the International Energy Agency (IEA), over 75% of global CO2 emissions stem from energy production and use [3]. As global energy demand continues to rise, driven by population growth and economic development, the need to decarbonize the energy system becomes even more pressing. Without a successful transition to clean, renewable energy sources, achieving the ambitious climate goals outlined in international agreements like the Paris Agreement will be nearly impossible [4].

The Paris Agreement, adopted in 2015, represents the most significant global effort to address climate change. Under the agreement, countries have committed to limiting global warming to well below 2°C, aiming for 1.5°C compared to pre-industrial levels. Global CO2 emissions must be reduced to net-zero by mid-century to meet these targets [5]. While the energy transition is central to this goal, it is not without challenges. The renewable energy sector, while growing rapidly, faces limitations in terms of grid integration, energy storage, and energy access in certain regions. For instance, intermittent renewable energy sources like wind and solar power present challenges in maintaining a stable and reliable energy supply. As a result, a multifaceted approach is needed, integrating renewable energy with low-carbon technologies, energy efficiency, and carbon removal methods [6].

This is where Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) becomes vital. CCS has emerged as a technology with the potential to complement renewable energy sources and decarbonize sectors that are particularly difficult to electrify, such as heavy industry, cement, and steel production. By capturing CO2 emissions from power plants or industrial processes and storing them underground, CCS prevents these emissions from entering the atmosphere, making it a critical tool in reducing overall carbon emissions [7].

1.2 The Role of CCS

Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) is a suite of technologies designed to capture carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions produced during industrial processes or energy generation, transport it, and store it underground in deep geological formations. CCS is considered one of the most promising solutions for mitigating climate change, especially in sectors that are challenging to decarbonize through electrification or alternative energy sources alone [8].

The role of CCS in energy transition is multifaceted. Firstly, it allows for the continued use of fossil fuels in a manner that significantly reduces their environmental impact. Fossil fuels are currently integral to global energy systems, especially in developing countries and hard-to-abate sectors. In these contexts, completely replacing fossil fuels with renewable energy sources is often impractical due to infrastructural, economic, or technological limitations. CCS offers a way to mitigate the CO2 emissions from these fossil fuels without completely dismantling existing energy infrastructure [9].

In the power sector, CCS can be integrated with traditional coal and natural gas plants to reduce their carbon footprint, making them part of the transition to cleaner energy without requiring these plants' immediate and expensive shutdown. Furthermore, CCS can be paired with hydrogen production processes [10]. The creation of “blue hydrogen,” produced from natural gas and coupled with CCS to capture the CO2 byproduct, is a promising avenue for decarbonizing sectors that depend on hydrogen, such as heavy manufacturing and transportation. When combined with bioenergy (Bioenergy with Carbon Capture and Storage, or BECCS), this approach can even result in negative emissions, meaning more CO2 is removed from the atmosphere than is emitted [11].

The potential of CCS extends beyond the energy sector. Heavy industries, such as cement, steel, and chemical manufacturing, are responsible for significant emissions but face difficulties in transitioning to renewable energy sources. For example, cement production requires high-temperature processes that are difficult to electrify, and steelmaking is heavily reliant on coal. CCS can capture the CO2 emissions from these processes, allowing these industries to reduce their carbon footprint while continuing operations. This makes CCS a key technology in the broader effort to decarbonize the industrial sector, which accounts for a substantial share of global emissions [12].

In terms of climate change mitigation, CCS is recognized by experts as essential for meeting the targets outlined in the Paris Agreement. According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), achieving a 1.5°C global temperature increase requires the widespread deployment of carbon removal technologies, including CCS, on a scale that can offset the residual emissions from sectors where full decarbonization is not feasible [13]. Moreover, the IEA’s net-zero by 2050 roadmap outlines CCS as a crucial component for reaching net-zero emissions globally, contributing to a substantial reduction in emissions from both power generation and industrial sectors [14].

However, while CCS has the potential to significantly reduce CO2 emissions, its deployment faces numerous challenges. These include technological barriers, financial costs, policy frameworks, and public perception. The high cost of CCS infrastructure, the complexity of developing storage sites, and the uncertainty about regulatory support all hinder the widespread adoption of this technology. Furthermore, concerns about the safety of long-term CO2 storage and potential leakage have led to skepticism among some stakeholders. Despite these challenges, continued investment in research, development, and policy support could unlock the full potential of CCS and enable its critical role in meeting climate and energy goals [15].

1.3 Thesis Statement

This paper explores the pivotal role of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) in balancing the dual objectives of energy transition and climate change mitigation. It argues that CCS is essential for reducing emissions from hard-to-abate sectors and supporting the continued use of fossil fuels while decarbonizing the energy system. The paper will examine how CCS can contribute to global climate goals by enabling emissions reductions in sectors like power generation, heavy industry, and hydrogen production. It will also explore the challenges associated with scaling up CCS deployment, including technological, economic, and regulatory barriers, and assess the potential for CCS to be integrated into a broader low-carbon energy system.

By analyzing the various facets of CCS, including its technological feasibility, economic implications, and policy frameworks, the paper aims to comprehensively understand how CCS can serve as a key pillar for balancing energy transition with climate goals. Additionally, the paper will highlight the critical role of CCS in enabling the continued use of fossil fuels in a low-carbon future, contributing to energy security while addressing climate change. Through this exploration, the paper seeks to emphasize the importance of CCS as a tool for achieving the ambitious climate targets set by international agreements and securing a sustainable energy future.

2. ENERGY TRANSITION AND CLIMATE GOALS

2.1 Energy Transition Overview

Energy transition refers to the global shift from a system predominantly based on fossil fuels to one that relies on cleaner, renewable energy sources and focuses on increasing energy efficiency across sectors. It is an ongoing, multi-faceted process that aims to decarbonize the energy system, mitigate environmental harm, and enhance sustainability [16]. Energy transition involves transitioning from conventional energy sources, such as coal, oil, and natural gas, to renewable energy sources like solar, wind, geothermal, and hydroelectric power. This transition is essential for addressing the global climate crisis and meeting the global climate targets set by international agreements like the Paris Agreement [17].

A critical component of energy transition is the widespread adoption of renewable energy technologies. The renewable energy sector has experienced rapid growth in recent years, driven by falling costs, technological advancements, and an increasing global focus on reducing carbon emissions [18]. Wind and solar power have become two of the most cost-effective sources of energy, making them central to the transition. The global capacity for wind and solar energy generation has increased dramatically, and many countries are setting ambitious targets for their future growth [19]. For example, the European Union aims to achieve a net-zero economy by 2050, with a significant share of energy coming from renewables. Similarly, China, the world's largest emitter of CO_2, is investing heavily in renewable energy and aims to peak its carbon emissions before 2030 and achieve carbon neutrality by 2060 [20].

However, the transition to renewable energy is not solely about replacing fossil fuels with renewables. It is also about improving energy efficiency and enhancing grid infrastructure to accommodate the growing share of renewable energy [3]. Energy efficiency improvements are critical to ensuring that the demand for energy is met while minimizing waste and reducing emissions. This includes increasing the energy efficiency of buildings, transportation systems, and industrial processes [21]. Additionally, there is a need for major upgrades to electricity grids to accommodate decentralized energy sources, such as wind and solar, which require advanced grid management systems to balance supply and demand. The integration of renewable energy into the grid is not without challenges, particularly when it comes to intermittent energy sources like solar and wind, which are dependent on weather conditions and time of day. As such, advancements in energy storage technologies and grid management systems are key to ensuring that renewable energy can be reliably delivered to consumers [22].

Energy transition also involves the decarbonization of sectors beyond electricity generation, including transport, heating, and industry. The decarbonization of these sectors is particularly important because they account for a significant share of global carbon emissions. Electrifying transport and heating systems, coupled with the use of renewable energy sources, is one approach to decarbonizing these sectors [23]. Additionally, carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies can play a critical role in reducing emissions in hard-to-decarbonize industries like cement, steel, and chemicals. These technologies enable the continued use of fossil fuels in industrial processes while capturing and storing the CO_2 emissions underground, thereby mitigating their contribution to climate change [24].

Sustainable energy systems are characterized by their reliance on renewable energy and their ability to support social, economic, and environmental sustainability. A sustainable energy system must be inclusive, providing access to clean and affordable energy for all people, including those in developing and remote regions. It must also be resilient, capable of adapting to changes in supply and demand, and able to withstand environmental challenges such as extreme weather events. In order to achieve sustainable energy systems, investments must be made in both renewable energy infrastructure and the technologies that support energy efficiency, storage, and grid modernization [25].

2.2 Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation Goals

Global climate targets, such as those set out in the Paris Agreement, are at the heart of efforts to tackle climate change. The Paris Agreement, adopted in 2015 by nearly every country in the world, aims to limit global warming to well below 2°C, with efforts to limit it to 1.5°C compared to pre-industrial levels [26]. This target is vital for minimizing the most severe impacts of climate change, including rising sea levels, extreme weather events, and disruptions to ecosystems. In addition to the temperature target, the Paris Agreement also calls for net-zero emissions to be achieved by the mid-century. This goal reflects the understanding that to prevent catastrophic climate change, global emissions must be reduced to a point where carbon removal efforts balance any remaining emissions [27].

The Paris Agreement's targets necessitate a fundamental transformation of global energy systems, as energy-related emissions are the largest source of global greenhouse gases. To meet these targets, the global energy system must undergo a radical shift toward decarbonization. This involves reducing emissions from the power sector, transitioning away from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources, and adopting new technologies such as CCS to mitigate emissions from hard-to-decarbonize sectors. The IPCC's 1.5°C report highlights the need for widespread use of carbon capture and storage, alongside large-scale investments in renewable energy and energy efficiency, to meet the stringent climate targets [28].

Meeting the 1.5°C target also requires implementing adaptation strategies to cope with the climate impacts already occurring. Climate change adaptation refers to the process of adjusting systems, processes, and policies to minimize the negative impacts of climate change. It includes efforts to improve resilience to extreme weather events, such as floods, droughts, and heatwaves, and to protect vulnerable communities and ecosystems. Adaptation strategies may also involve changes in land use, agricultural practices, and water management to ensure that societies can continue to thrive in the face of a changing climate [29].

In the energy sector, adaptation strategies are crucial for ensuring that energy systems remain reliable and resilient to climate impacts. For example, renewable energy sources like solar and wind are vulnerable to changes in weather patterns, so investments in energy storage and flexible grid systems are necessary to ensure that energy supply remains stable during periods of high demand or adverse weather events. Additionally, the physical infrastructure for oil and gas extraction, power generation, and transmission may be at risk from rising sea levels, storms, and other climate impacts. Governments and companies will need to invest in climate-resilient infrastructure that can withstand these challenges [30].

The goal of climate change mitigation is to reduce emissions and prevent further global warming, while adaptation aims to reduce vulnerability to the impacts of climate change. Together, these efforts are essential for managing the risks of climate change and ensuring that the world can continue to meet the needs of future generations. The energy sector plays a critical role in both mitigation and adaptation, as it is both a major source of emissions and a key sector for enabling resilience to climate impacts.

2.3 Challenges of Achieving the Dual Goals

The transition to a low-carbon energy system and the achievement of global climate targets are fraught with challenges, particularly in balancing the need for reliable, affordable energy with the urgency of reducing carbon emissions. The complexity of achieving these dual goals stems from several interrelated factors, including economic, technological, social, and political considerations. One primary challenge in achieving energy transition and climate goals is ensuring energy security. Energy security refers to the ability of a country or region to reliably supply affordable energy to meet the needs of its economy and population [31]. A key concern in the energy transition is that shifting too rapidly away from fossil fuels could lead to disruptions in energy supply, particularly in regions that are highly dependent on coal, oil, or natural gas for electricity generation. For instance, countries in Europe and North America have significant investments in fossil fuel infrastructure, and a sudden transition to renewables could jeopardize energy security, especially during periods of high demand or in the event of intermittent renewable energy generation. Balancing the need for a reliable and stable energy supply with the urgency of reducing emissions requires careful planning and the integration of multiple energy sources, including renewables, nuclear, and natural gas, along with advancements in energy storage and grid management [32].

Additionally, ensuring that the energy transition is affordable and equitable is challenging. The shift to renewable energy and low-carbon technologies requires significant investments in infrastructure, research, and development. While the costs of renewable energy technologies like solar and wind have come down dramatically in recent years, the initial capital costs of transitioning to these technologies can still be prohibitively high, particularly for developing countries [33]. There is also the risk that the transition could exacerbate energy poverty in regions where affordable access to clean energy is limited. Governments and international organizations must ensure that the transition is inclusive, providing financial and technical support to developing countries and vulnerable populations to ensure that they have access to clean, affordable energy. Furthermore, the energy transition should create job opportunities, rather than causing mass job losses in traditional fossil fuel industries [3].

Technologically, while renewable energy technologies have advanced significantly, there are still challenges related to their scalability and integration into existing energy systems. For example, the intermittency of solar and wind energy means that energy storage solutions are crucial for ensuring reliable power supply [34]. However, current energy storage technologies, such as batteries, are expensive, and large-scale deployment is still limited. Similarly, while electric vehicles (EVs) and electric heating systems are key to decarbonizing the transport and heating sectors, their widespread adoption depends on infrastructure development, such as charging stations and grid enhancements. Additionally, the availability and deployment of CCS technologies face challenges related to costs, scalability, and public acceptance [35].

Finally, significant political and regulatory challenges exist in achieving the energy transition and meeting climate goals. Governments around the world face pressure to balance economic growth with environmental protection. Fossil fuel industries, which provide jobs and economic benefits, often resist change due to concerns about economic displacement [36]. In addition, the lack of coherent and consistent policies across countries makes coordinating a global approach to climate action difficult. While some countries have made significant progress in adopting renewable energy policies and setting ambitious climate goals, others continue to rely heavily on fossil fuels. The political will to enforce policies that support energy transition is often weak due to competing interests and vested political and economic powers [37].

In conclusion, achieving the dual goals of energy transition and climate change mitigation is a complex and challenging task. While significant opportunities exist for reducing emissions and transitioning to a more sustainable energy system, these efforts must be carefully managed to maintain energy security, affordability, and equity. Technological advancements, effective policy frameworks, and international cooperation will be key to overcoming the challenges of balancing these dual goals. The role of CCS technologies, renewable energy integration, and sustainable infrastructure development will be crucial in realizing a low-carbon, resilient energy future.

3. UNDERSTANDING CARBON CAPTURE AND STORAGE (CCS)

3.1 Technical Overview of CCS

Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) is a critical technology aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions, particularly carbon dioxide (CO2), from various industrial processes. It involves capturing CO2 emissions at their source, transporting the captured CO2 to suitable storage sites, and then securely storing it underground in geological formations to prevent it from entering the atmosphere and contributing to climate change [38]. By isolating CO2 from the atmosphere, CCS has the potential to mitigate the environmental impact of industries that are difficult to decarbonize, making it an essential tool in the global effort to combat climate change.

The process of CCS is typically divided into three core components: capture, transportation, and storage.

- Capture refers to the process of isolating CO2 from the emissions produced by industrial processes or power plants. This is typically done using a technique targeting CO2 removal from flue gases or exhaust streams.
- Transportation involves moving the captured CO2 to a storage site, which is typically located deep underground in geological formations such as depleted oil and gas reservoirs, deep saline aquifers, or unminable coal seams. Transportation is usually carried out through pipelines, although other methods such as shipping or trucking may be used depending on the geographical context.
- Storage is the final stage, where the CO2 is injected into secure underground geological formations, often thousands of meters below the Earth's surface, where it is trapped in porous rock layers and prevented from migrating to the surface. The long-term integrity of these storage sites is crucial to ensure that the CO2 does not leak back into the atmosphere, which is why extensive monitoring and verification systems are put in place to ensure the safety and permanence of storage.

Overall, CCS is seen as one of the most promising technologies for reducing CO2 emissions from hard-to-decarbonize sectors, including heavy industries, cement, steel, and certain power generation processes. It offers the potential for "negative emissions" if coupled with bioenergy or other forms of carbon removal, which could be crucial for achieving long-term climate goals.

3.2 Types of CCS Technologies

Carbon capture technologies are generally categorized into three main types based on when and how CO2 is removed from the flue gas or exhaust stream. These types are pre-combustion, post-combustion, and oxy-fuel combustion, each of which has distinct processes and applications in different industrial contexts.

- Pre-Combustion CCS: Pre-combustion CCS is a technology that involves capturing CO2 before combustion occurs. This method is typically used in integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) power plants and some types of industrial processes. In pre-combustion systems, the fuel (usually coal or natural gas) is converted into a mixture of hydrogen and CO2 in a gasification process. The CO2 is then separated from the hydrogen using various techniques such as chemical absorption, leaving the hydrogen gas, which can be used as a clean energy source. Pre-combustion CCS has the advantage of producing a relatively pure stream of CO2, which makes capture more efficient and cost-effective. Additionally, because the CO2 is separated before combustion, it does not need to be filtered out from the exhaust gases, which simplifies the capture process [39].
- Post-Combustion CCS: Post-combustion CCS is today's most common and widely used method in power plants and industrial applications. This process involves capturing CO2 after the combustion of fossil fuels. The flue gases produced by burning coal, natural gas, or other fuels are passed through a series of chemical scrubbers or solvents that absorb the CO2 from the exhaust. The CO2 is then separated and compressed for transport and storage [40]. Post-combustion is versatile and can be retrofitted to existing power plants, making it an attractive option for reducing emissions from existing infrastructure. However, large amounts of energy are required to separate CO2 from flue gases, making it less efficient and more costly than pre-combustion. It is particularly suited for industries like cement, steel, and chemical manufacturing, where the emission sources are continuous and large in volume [41].
- Oxy-Fuel Combustion CCS: Oxy-fuel combustion is a novel method of capturing CO2 that involves burning fossil fuels in an oxygen-rich environment rather than in air. In conventional combustion, air is used as the oxidizer, which results in a mixture of gases, including nitrogen, CO2, and water vapor. In oxy-fuel combustion, oxygen is separated from the air and used directly for combustion, producing a flue gas primarily composed of CO2 and water vapor [42]. The CO2 is then separated by cooling the gas and condensing the water vapor, leaving a concentrated stream of CO2 that can be captured and stored. Oxy-fuel combustion has the advantage of producing a highly concentrated stream of CO2, making the capture process more efficient. It also allows for a more compact and potentially cheaper system, but it requires significant amounts of energy to separate and produce the oxygen, and it still faces challenges in terms of commercial deployment and cost-effectiveness [43].

Each of these CCS technologies has its strengths and weaknesses depending on the specific application. While pre-combustion is typically applied in industrial settings such as gasification, post-combustion is widely applicable to existing power plants and cement production. Oxy-fuel combustion is still in the experimental and pilot stages but holds promise for future applications, especially in industries where large amounts of CO2 are produced in concentrated streams.

3.3 Applications of CCS

Carbon Capture and Storage technologies are being deployed across a wide range of sectors, particularly those where emissions are difficult to eliminate through other means. The primary applications of CCS include power generation, heavy industries, and natural gas production, with substantial potential for CO2 emissions reduction.

The power generation sector is one of the largest sources of CO2 emissions globally. CCS has the potential to decarbonize fossil fuel-based power plants by capturing the CO2 emissions that would otherwise be released into the atmosphere. CCS can be applied to both existing coal-fired power plants (using post-combustion capture) and new, more advanced gasification-based plants (using pre-combustion capture) [44]. The technology is also being explored in natural gas power plants, where the CO2 can be captured during combustion. For power plants that are already in operation, retrofitting them with CCS can significantly reduce their carbon footprint. However, retrofitting also presents challenges, including the need for substantial investment, the availability of storage sites, and the energy demands of the capture process. Despite these challenges, CCS in power generation is considered a critical tool for achieving the emission reductions needed to meet international climate targets [45].

In addition to power generation, heavy industries such as cement, steel, and chemical manufacturing are significant contributors to global CO2 emissions. These industries are particularly challenging to decarbonize due to the chemical processes involved, which release large quantities of CO2 regardless of the energy source. For example, cement production involves the heating of limestone, which releases CO2 as part of the chemical transformation. Similarly, steel production involves the use of coke (a carbon-rich material) to reduce iron ore, producing CO2 as a byproduct. In these industries, CCS offers a means of reducing emissions while allowing production to continue. Post-combustion capture is often used for cement and chemical industries, while pre-combustion capture can be applied in steel manufacturing, where it can be integrated with hydrogen-based production processes. The development of CCS technologies tailored to these industries is crucial for achieving long-term emission reduction goals [46].

CCS is also being used in the oil and gas industry, where it can play a role in reducing the carbon footprint of fossil fuel extraction. In particular, CO2 captured from natural gas processing or fossil fuel power plants can be injected into oil fields to enhance oil recovery (EOR). This process, known as CO2-enhanced oil recovery, involves injecting CO2 into aging oil fields to increase pressure and improve the extraction of oil [44]. The injected CO2 remains stored in the reservoir, effectively removing it from the atmosphere. CCS can also be applied to natural gas processing plants, where it captures CO2 emissions produced during natural gas extraction and processing. The oil and gas industry has significant experience with CO2 injection, which can serve as a foundation for broader CCS deployment across other sectors [47].

Another promising application of CCS is in the combination of bioenergy and carbon capture and storage, known as BECCS. BECCS involves using biomass (such as wood pellets or agricultural residues) to generate energy, with the CO2 emissions from the biomass combustion being captured and stored. Because biomass absorbs CO2 from the atmosphere during its growth, BECCS can result in “negative emissions” — effectively removing more CO2 from the atmosphere than is emitted during the energy generation process. BECCS has the potential to help achieve global climate goals by offsetting emissions from hard-to-decarbonize sectors. It is currently being piloted in several countries, with large-scale BECCS projects being explored in regions with significant biomass resources [48].

4. THE ROLE OF CCS IN ACHIEVING ENERGY TRANSITION

4.1 Enabling Decarbonization of Hard-to-Abate Sectors

One of the major challenges in achieving a comprehensive global energy transition is addressing emissions from traditionally difficult to decarbonize sectors, commonly referred to as hard-to-abate sectors. These include industries such as cement, steel, and chemicals, which are essential to modern economies but are responsible for substantial CO2 emissions. The decarbonization of these industries is critical for meeting global climate targets, but technological and economic barriers complicate their transition to low-carbon alternatives. This is where Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) plays a pivotal role [49].

In industries like cement and steel production, the CO2 emissions result not only from the combustion of fossil fuels but also from the chemical processes inherent in production. For instance, cement production generates CO2 during the heating of limestone, which releases carbon as a byproduct in a process known as calcination. Similarly, in steel production, CO2 is produced from the reduction of iron ore, a necessary step in producing steel. These processes cannot be easily eliminated through the use of renewable energy sources or energy efficiency measures alone [50].

CCS provides a practical solution by capturing and storing the CO2 emissions produced during these industrial processes. In cement production, CCS can be integrated into the flue gas treatment systems, capturing the CO2 before it is released into the atmosphere. Similarly, pre-combustion or post-combustion capture methods can be applied to trap CO2 emissions in the steel industry. The captured CO2 can then be transported to underground storage sites, preventing its release into the atmosphere [51].

The importance of CCS in these sectors lies in its ability to enable the continued production of essential materials while dramatically reducing their carbon footprint. For example, capturing CO2 from cement plants allows for the continued construction of buildings and infrastructure without adding to the greenhouse gas emissions burden. Similarly, CCS in steel production can support the transition to a low-carbon economy by producing steel in a way that aligns with climate goals. The successful deployment of CCS in these hard-to-abate sectors can contribute significantly to global decarbonization efforts, making it an essential technology for achieving long-term sustainability [52].

4.2 Synergies with Renewable Energy

While renewable energy sources such as solar, wind, and hydropower are central to the global energy transition, they present certain challenges related to intermittency and grid stability. Renewable energy generation is often subject to variability, with production fluctuating based on weather patterns and time of day. This intermittency can create significant challenges for grid operators, who must balance supply and demand while ensuring the electricity grid's stability.

CCS has the potential to complement renewable energy sources by providing a means of managing intermittency and enhancing grid stability. When renewable energy generation is abundant, excess electricity can be used to power carbon capture systems, which capture CO2 from existing industrial processes or power plants. In turn, this captured CO2 can be transported and stored, effectively reducing emissions from the energy system. By integrating CCS with renewable energy sources, the overall emissions of the energy sector can be reduced while ensuring that the grid remains stable and reliable.

Moreover, CCS can also play a crucial role in addressing the challenge of seasonal energy storage. In many regions, renewable energy generation peaks during certain seasons — for example, summer months when solar power is abundant. However, energy demand may be higher during winter when sunlight is limited, creating a mismatch between supply and demand. CCS can help mitigate this issue by storing excess CO2 during periods of low energy demand and using it for enhanced oil recovery or other purposes, thus providing a form of energy storage [53].

CCS can also support the integration of renewable energy into energy systems by facilitating the production of "green hydrogen" and "blue hydrogen." Hydrogen is seen as a key component of the future low-carbon economy due to its versatility and potential to decarbonize various sectors. While renewable energy sources can be used to produce green hydrogen through electrolysis, which splits water into hydrogen and oxygen, CCS can be integrated into the production of blue hydrogen. In blue hydrogen production, natural gas is used as a feedstock, but the CO2 emissions produced during the process are captured and stored using CCS. By combining CCS with hydrogen production, both renewable energy and CCS technologies can work synergistically to accelerate the transition to a low-carbon energy system [54].

4.3 CCS in Integrated Energy Systems

The future energy system is expected to be highly integrated, with various technologies and processes working together to achieve carbon neutrality. In this context, CCS has a critical role to play in supporting integrated energy systems that combine different low-carbon technologies, such as hydrogen production, bioenergy, and carbon removal strategies.

One of the most promising areas of integration is the production of blue hydrogen, where natural gas is used as a feedstock for hydrogen production. While green hydrogen produced from renewable sources is ideal, it is currently limited by the availability of renewable electricity and the cost of electrolysis technology. Blue hydrogen, on the other hand, utilizes natural gas but incorporates CCS to capture and store the CO2 emissions produced during the process. The integration of CCS with hydrogen production provides a more scalable and cost-effective pathway to producing low-carbon hydrogen, which can then be used in a variety of sectors, including transportation, industry, and electricity generation.

In addition to hydrogen, Bioenergy with Carbon Capture and Storage (BECCS) is another crucial integrated energy system that combines renewable energy sources with CCS. BECCS involves the use of biomass (such as wood or agricultural residues) for energy production, with the CO2 emissions from combustion captured and stored underground. BECCS has the potential to result in "negative emissions," meaning that it can remove more CO2 from the atmosphere than it releases, thus helping to offset emissions from other sectors. The integration of CCS with bioenergy systems can provide a sustainable and scalable approach to addressing the carbon budget, particularly in sectors where emissions are difficult to reduce, such as aviation and heavy industry.

In a broader energy system context, CCS can also support carbon-neutral fuels by capturing and storing CO2 produced during the synthesis of synthetic fuels (also known as "synfuels"). These fuels, derived from renewable or waste resources, can be used as substitutes for conventional fossil fuels, offering a low-carbon alternative for industries that rely heavily on liquid fuels, such as shipping and aviation. By integrating CCS into the production process of synfuels, it is possible to minimize the overall carbon footprint of these fuels, helping to decarbonize sectors that are otherwise challenging to electrify [55].

The integration of CCS into a broader energy system also helps with the management of large-scale energy storage. The long-term storage of CO2 in geological formations can be seen as a type of energy storage, especially when combined with other carbon removal technologies. During periods of low energy demand or high renewable generation, excess energy can be used for CCS, storing CO2 underground and creating the opportunity for the future utilization of this captured carbon in various applications, including enhanced oil recovery or even synthetic fuel production. This integrated approach ensures that energy systems are more flexible, resilient, and capable of meeting global climate goals [56].

The ability to integrate CCS into a variety of energy systems highlights its importance in the decarbonization process. It is not just a standalone solution but a technology that enhances and supports other low-carbon technologies, creating a more flexible and robust energy system capable of meeting the diverse needs of the global economy. We can achieve a more sustainable, low-carbon future by leveraging CCS as a core component of integrated energy systems.

5. CLIMATE CHANGE MITIGATION AND CCS

5.1 CCS as a Climate Change Mitigation Strategy

Climate change represents one of the most urgent global challenges, primarily driven by the increase in atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO2) levels. To address this crisis, it is crucial to reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases (GHGs) while simultaneously pursuing sustainable economic growth. In this context, Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) emerges as a powerful strategy for mitigating climate change by capturing CO2 from major industrial sources and safely storing it underground or utilizing it in various applications. This section explores the potential of CCS in reducing global CO2 emissions and mitigating the impacts of climate change [57].

CCS is considered a critical tool in efforts to limit global warming, as it addresses both direct emissions from industrial processes and indirect emissions from fossil fuel combustion. The energy sector, in particular, is a significant contributor to global CO2 emissions, responsible for approximately 73% of total emissions in 2019, with much of this stemming from the use of coal, oil, and natural gas. The decarbonization of the energy sector is, therefore, essential to mitigating climate change, and CCS offers a solution to reduce the emissions from fossil fuel-based power generation and industrial activities, such as cement and steel production [58].

At its core, CCS involves three main processes: capturing CO2 from emission sources (such as power plants or industrial facilities), transporting it via pipelines or ships to designated storage sites, and permanently storing it underground in geological formations. This process prevents CO2 from entering the atmosphere, effectively reducing the overall concentration of greenhouse gases and limiting their contribution to global warming. By capturing and storing CO2, CCS has the potential to reduce global emissions by billions of tons per year, helping to slow the rate of climate change.

One of the key advantages of CCS as a climate change mitigation strategy is its ability to be applied to existing infrastructure. Many power plants, industrial processes, and chemical plants are already emitting significant amounts of CO2, and retrofitting them with CCS technology allows for the continued operation of these facilities while reducing their environmental impact [59]. Furthermore, CCS can be integrated into industries that are difficult to decarbonize using other technologies, such as cement and steel production, which are critical to global economic development. In these sectors, CCS can capture CO2 emissions at the point of production, preventing them from being released into the atmosphere and enabling the continued production of essential goods without contributing to climate change.

In addition to mitigating emissions, CCS can also play a role in negative emissions, particularly when combined with bioenergy or other carbon removal technologies. Bioenergy with Carbon Capture and Storage (BECCS), for example, involves the capture and storage of CO2 produced during the combustion of biomass, such as wood or agricultural residues. This process can result in a net reduction of CO2 in the atmosphere, as the carbon in biomass is part of the natural carbon cycle, and its capture prevents it from being re-emitted. CCS, therefore, can serve as a tool not only for reducing current emissions but also for removing carbon from the atmosphere, helping to address the historical emissions that have already contributed to global warming [48].

5.2 CCS and Net-Zero Targets

In recent years, many countries, regions, and corporations have set ambitious net-zero emissions targets, committing to reducing their carbon footprint to zero by a specified date, often around 2050. Achieving net-zero emissions is essential for limiting global warming to 1.5°C, as outlined in the Paris Agreement, which aims to reduce the global temperature rise to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels. Net-zero emissions are achieved by balancing the amount of GHGs emitted into the atmosphere with the amount removed or offset, ensuring that no additional carbon is added to the climate system [60].

CCS plays a central role in helping countries and corporations meet their net-zero targets by providing a means to offset emissions that cannot be eliminated through other means. For example, some sectors, such as heavy industry and long-haul transport, are particularly challenging to decarbonize due to renewable alternatives' high energy intensity and technical limitations. In these cases, CCS can capture and store the CO2 emissions produced while manufacturing cement, steel, and chemicals, which are difficult to replace with renewable energy or low-carbon alternatives [61].

Many national governments, including those of the United States, Canada, and the European Union, have recognized the importance of CCS in their decarbonization plans. Several countries have integrated CCS into their climate action strategies, identifying it as necessary for achieving net-zero emissions by 2050. The International Energy Agency (IEA) has estimated that CCS will need to account for approximately 15% of global emissions reductions by mid-century to meet net-zero targets. Therefore, the successful deployment of CCS technology will be critical in achieving these ambitious goals.

In addition to supporting national climate targets, CCS also plays a key role in helping corporations and industries transition to net-zero emissions. Many industries are already facing increasing pressure from investors, regulators, and consumers to adopt low-carbon practices and align their operations with global climate goals. Corporations in the energy, manufacturing, and transportation sectors are investing in CCS technologies to reduce their carbon footprints and demonstrate their commitment to sustainability. For example, major oil and gas companies such as ExxonMobil, Shell, and Chevron have announced plans to develop CCS projects to offset emissions from their operations and move towards net-zero targets. Similarly, industries such as cement, steel, and chemicals are exploring CCS as a means to decarbonize their production processes and align with global climate commitments [62].

Despite the potential of CCS to contribute to achieving net-zero targets, its widespread deployment faces several challenges. These include the high cost of CCS technologies, limited infrastructure for CO2 transportation and storage, and regulatory and policy hurdles that need to be addressed to incentivize large-scale deployment. To overcome these challenges, governments and corporations must work together to provide the necessary investments, policy frameworks, and incentives to scale up CCS technology. These efforts will be critical in ensuring that CCS plays a meaningful role in the global transition to a low-carbon economy.

5.3 The Environmental and Economic Impact of CCS

The widespread adoption of CCS has significant implications for both the environment and the economy. On the environmental front, CCS has the potential to substantially reduce global CO2 emissions, mitigating the worst impacts of climate change. By capturing and storing millions of tons of CO2, CCS can help slow the rate of global warming, reduce the frequency and intensity of extreme weather events, and help preserve ecosystems and biodiversity. Furthermore, CCS can be integrated with carbon removal technologies like BECCS to provide a pathway for achieving negative emissions, ultimately helping to reverse some of the environmental damage caused by historical emissions [63].

However, the environmental impact of CCS is not without its challenges. While CCS prevents CO2 from being released into the atmosphere, concerns about the potential risks associated with CO2 storage exist. One of the key concerns is the long-term integrity of CO2 storage sites. If CO2 were to leak from underground storage reservoirs, it could potentially contribute to global warming, negating the benefits of CCS. To mitigate this risk, it is essential to ensure that storage sites are carefully selected, monitored, and regulated to prevent leaks and ensure the safe and permanent storage of CO2. Furthermore, the environmental impacts of transporting CO2 via pipelines or ships must also be considered, as this involves energy use and potential risks of leaks or accidents [64].

On the economic front, the deployment of CCS has both positive and negative implications. The development of CCS infrastructure requires significant capital investment in research, development, and commercialization, as well as the construction of transportation and storage networks. These costs can be a barrier to the widespread adoption of CCS, particularly in developing countries or regions with limited access to financial resources. Additionally, the cost of capturing and storing CO2 is still relatively high, making CCS less economically competitive compared to other low-carbon technologies such as renewable energy or energy efficiency measures [65].

However, the economic potential of CCS should not be underestimated. CCS has the potential to create new industries, jobs, and revenue streams, particularly in the fields of CO2 capture, storage, and transportation. The development of CCS infrastructure could lead to the creation of a global carbon market, where countries and corporations can trade carbon credits to meet their emissions reduction targets. Furthermore, CCS can support economic growth by enabling the continued production of energy-intensive goods and services without contributing to climate change. For example, by capturing CO2 from cement production, CCS can enable the continued construction of infrastructure and buildings while reducing the sector's carbon footprint [66].

Ultimately, CCS's environmental and economic impacts will depend on how the technology is deployed and integrated into broader climate strategies. If deployed effectively, CCS can play a critical role in mitigating climate change while providing economic benefits, such as job creation, economic growth, and energy security. However, careful attention must be paid to the environmental and economic risks associated with CCS to ensure its sustainable and safe use in the transition to a low-carbon economy.

6. BARRIERS AND CHALLENGES TO SCALING CCS

6.1 Technological and Infrastructure Barriers

The scaling of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technology is confronted with a variety of technical challenges that need to be overcome to make it an effective and viable solution for large-scale deployment. These barriers relate to the high costs of technology, the need for substantial infrastructure development, and limitations in current technological capabilities.

Cost remains one of the most significant challenges in adopting CCS. The process of capturing, transporting, and storing CO2 requires significant investment, both for the technology itself and the infrastructure needed to support its deployment. The costs of building carbon capture plants are high due to the complexity of the technology involved and the need to retrofit existing industrial plants [59]. For example, capturing CO2 from power plants and heavy industries typically involves specialized equipment such as amine scrubbing systems, which are expensive to install and operate. Additionally, transportation of captured CO2 requires pipelines or shipping systems, both of which incur ongoing operational and maintenance costs. While these costs are expected to decrease as the technology matures and economies of scale are realized, current financial constraints make CCS economically uncompetitive when compared to other decarbonization technologies such as renewable energy, energy efficiency, or electrification [9].

Another major challenge is the infrastructure development required for CCS to function at scale. A comprehensive network of pipelines, storage sites, and monitoring systems is essential to effectively deploy CCS. However, this infrastructure is largely absent in many regions, and the costs of developing and maintaining it can be prohibitively high. For example, laying pipelines for CO2 transport can involve significant environmental and regulatory hurdles, such as securing land rights and obtaining permits [67]. Furthermore, identifying and securing appropriate geological sites for CO2 storage is a complex process that requires significant research and environmental impact assessments to ensure long-term safety and viability. Developing a CO2 transportation network at a national or global scale requires coordinated efforts from governments, industries, and stakeholders to design and build the necessary infrastructure to safely move large quantities of CO2 [68].

In terms of technological limitations, the current capture technologies have not yet been fully optimized to handle the large volumes of CO2 emissions produced by industries like cement, steel, and chemicals. Moreover, there are still challenges related to the efficiency and energy consumption of the capture processes. For instance, while widely used, amine-based capture methods can be energy-intensive, which impacts their cost-effectiveness and raises concerns about the energy demand of the process. Advanced capture technologies such as membrane separation and cryogenic distillation hold promise but are still in the research and development phase and are not yet viable at scale. Overcoming these technological and infrastructure barriers will require significant innovation, investment, and collaboration among industry leaders, scientists, and governments [38].

6.2 Policy, Regulatory, and Financial Challenges

In addition to technological and infrastructure barriers, the successful scaling of CCS faces significant policy, regulatory, and financial challenges. Addressing these challenges is crucial for creating the right environment to support the development and deployment of CCS projects on a global scale.

One of the key barriers to CCS deployment is the lack of consistent and supportive policies at the national and international levels. Without clear regulatory frameworks and long-term policy commitment, businesses may be reluctant to invest in CCS technologies. Governments play a critical role in setting regulations that define how CO2 is captured, transported, and stored, and these policies need to provide certainty and incentives for private sector participation. The carbon pricing mechanisms, such as carbon taxes or emissions trading systems (ETS), have been proposed as a way to internalize the cost of CO2 emissions and provide economic incentives for CCS adoption [69]. However, the effectiveness of these mechanisms depends on how well they are designed and implemented. In many countries, carbon pricing is still in its infancy or lacks the ambition to drive the significant emissions reductions required to meet climate targets. The establishment of a global carbon market that includes stringent carbon pricing would significantly enhance the economic viability of CCS and help promote its widespread deployment.

Public-private partnerships (PPPs) also play an essential role in advancing CCS technology. Governments can encourage investment in CCS by creating regulatory frameworks that facilitate collaboration between the public and private sectors. These partnerships can help mitigate the high upfront costs associated with CCS, particularly for research, development, and demonstration projects. They can also help share the financial risks of scaling up CCS technologies, which would otherwise be too risky for private companies to undertake alone. Financial mechanisms such as subsidies, tax credits, and grant programs are also necessary to encourage the development of CCS projects. Countries like the U.S. and the EU have already implemented financial incentives like the 40Q tax credit in the U.S. and the Innovation Fund in Europe, which help offset the costs of capturing and storing CO2 [70].

However, uncertainty in regulations remains a significant obstacle to scaling CCS. While some countries have established regulatory frameworks for CCS, others lack clear guidelines on how to manage the long-term storage of CO2. A common concern is how to ensure the liability for CO2 storage sites, especially if CO2 leaks after storage. Governments must establish clear rules about who is responsible for CO2 storage and for the monitoring and verification of the stored CO2. In some jurisdictions, regulations on CO2 storage are not comprehensive or are inconsistent, which creates uncertainty for investors. Moreover, the permitting process for CCS projects can be lengthy and complex, deterring potential investors from moving forward with their projects. Streamlining the permitting process, while ensuring environmental safety and public interest, is essential for enabling large-scale deployment of CCS [71].

Furthermore, the cost of financing CCS projects remains a significant barrier. While CCS has the potential to reduce emissions on a global scale, the financial returns on investment are often unclear and take time to materialize. This uncertainty makes it challenging to secure private investment without substantial public support. Innovative financial instruments, such as green bonds, carbon credits, and joint ventures, will be important in attracting the necessary capital. The establishment of financial risk-sharing mechanisms between governments and the private sector will be vital to scaling CCS projects and ensuring their economic feasibility.

6.3 Public Perception and Social Acceptance

The public perception and social acceptance of CCS also present significant barriers to its widespread deployment. While CCS technology holds promise as a crucial tool for mitigating climate change, public opinion about its safety and effectiveness can influence the political will to support its development.

One of the primary concerns among the public is the safety of CO2 storage. The idea of storing large volumes of CO2 underground can raise fears of potential leaks or accidents that could lead to environmental or health risks. CO2, when leaked into the atmosphere, is a potent greenhouse gas, and its release could undermine the goals of CCS. There are also concerns about the potential induced seismicity—the risk that storing large amounts of CO2 in geological formations could cause earthquakes or ground instability. Despite extensive research and technological advancements that have demonstrated the safety of CCS when properly managed, public skepticism persists, particularly in communities near proposed storage sites [72].

To overcome these concerns, engaging the public early and transparently in the decision-making process is essential. Public outreach and education campaigns can help address misconceptions and explain CCS's benefits and safety measures. It is also important to provide robust monitoring and verification systems to ensure the integrity of CO2 storage sites. If communities see that CCS is being implemented responsibly and that their concerns are being taken seriously, public support for CCS projects is likely to increase.

Another barrier to the acceptance of CCS is the location of storage sites. Many potential CO2 storage sites are located far from emission sources, which means that transportation infrastructure, such as pipelines, must be built to move the captured CO2 to the storage locations. In some cases, these pipelines may pass through residential areas or environmentally sensitive regions, raising concerns about the potential environmental and health impacts [59]. There is also a fear that CO2 storage sites could be located in or near populated areas, leading to public opposition. Ensuring that CO2 storage sites are located in geologically suitable and socially acceptable areas is crucial for the success of CCS projects. This requires careful planning, community engagement, and consideration of the social impacts of CCS deployment [73].

The perception of CCS as a "temporary fix" rather than a permanent solution to climate change is another challenge. Some critics argue that focusing on CCS could divert attention and resources away from more sustainable, long-term solutions, such as transitioning to renewable energy or adopting energy-efficient technologies. To gain broader acceptance, it is important to position CCS as part of a larger, multi-faceted approach to tackling climate change, alongside efforts to reduce emissions through renewable energy, energy efficiency, and consumption patterns.

7. GLOBAL CASE STUDIES AND BEST PRACTICES

7.1 Successful CCS Projects

Several large-scale CCS projects around the world serve as pivotal examples of the potential of this technology to reduce carbon emissions. These projects highlight the technological viability of CCS and the regulatory frameworks, financial models, and societal acceptance that have supported their success. Two of the most notable examples are the Boundary Dam CCS Project in Canada and Sleipner CO2 Storage Project in Norway.

Boundary Dam CCS Project, located in Saskatchewan, Canada, is one of the first commercial-scale CCS projects in the world and is widely regarded as a success. The project is integrated with a coal-fired power plant and captures CO2 produced by the plant’s operations, storing it in a deep geological formation approximately 3,000 meters underground [74]. The captured CO2 is used for enhanced oil recovery (EOR) in nearby oil fields, which provides an additional economic incentive for the project. The Boundary Dam project is significant for demonstrating the viability of CCS in the power generation sector, particularly in industries where emissions are otherwise challenging to reduce. The project, which began operation in 2014, has captured millions of tons of CO2 since its inception and has provided valuable insights into CCS's scalability and economic considerations at large power plants [75].

Another successful example is Sleipner CO2 Storage Project in Norway, which has been operational since 1996. The Sleipner project is part of an offshore natural gas facility in the North Sea and has been instrumental in demonstrating the long-term viability of CO2 storage in offshore geological formations. CO2 is captured from natural gas production and injected into a saline aquifer located about 1,000 meters below the seabed [76]. The project is notable for its long track record of successful CO2 injection and monitoring. Over 20 years of operation, the Sleipner project has captured and stored more than 17 million tons of CO2, making it one of the most successful CCS projects globally. Additionally, the project has served as a critical research site, providing valuable data on the behavior of CO2 in storage and the integrity of geological storage sites [77].

These projects have demonstrated the potential for CCS to capture and store CO2 at scale, whether in fossil fuel power generation or natural gas processing. They provide crucial evidence that CCS can work in different sectors and settings, offering lessons on developing, financing, and operating CCS projects successfully.

7.2 Lessons Learned

The successful implementation of CCS projects like Boundary Dam and Sleipner has yielded a wealth of lessons that are vital for future projects. These lessons span technology, policy, and societal acceptance, which are essential for effectively scaling CCS. Technology and Engineering Lessons: One of the most significant lessons from these projects is the importance of robust monitoring and verification systems. Both projects employ extensive monitoring systems to track the movement of CO2 after injection, ensuring that it stays securely stored and does not leak. This includes seismic monitoring, pressure measurements, and soil gas sampling to detect any signs of migration. The reliability of these monitoring systems is crucial for ensuring the safety of the storage sites and building public confidence in the long-term viability of CCS. Furthermore, the technology used in these projects has been refined over time. For example, the Boundary Dam project faced initial challenges with the efficiency of CO2 capture, but improvements were made as the project progressed. The experience has highlighted the importance of ongoing optimization and learning in the technical operation of CCS.

Another important lesson is the integration of CCS with existing infrastructure. Boundary Dam's integration of CO2 capture into an existing coal plant, for example, allowed for the retrofit of an old plant, making it more cost-effective than building a new plant from scratch. Using captured CO2 for EOR at Boundary Dam also provided an economic incentive that helped finance the project. Such integration strategies should be considered in other regions looking to implement CCS on existing industrial or power generation sites. In Norway, the use of saline aquifers for CO2 storage at Sleipner, which are abundant and well-suited for CO2 injection, represents another key lesson in selecting the right geological formations for long-term storage.

Policy and Regulatory Lessons: Both Boundary Dam and Sleipner have benefited from supportive policy frameworks that provide the necessary incentives for CCS projects. For example, the Norwegian government implemented a tax on CO2 emissions, which encouraged the development of the Sleipner project as a means to reduce emissions from natural gas extraction. In Canada, government incentives for CCS development, such as grants and the ability to claim tax credits for CO2 stored underground, have been key in driving Boundary Dam's success. These examples underline the importance of clear and supportive regulatory frameworks in attracting investment and enabling the commercial viability of CCS. Other countries need to replicate these approaches to ensure the financial feasibility of CCS projects.

Another critical policy lesson is the need for clear liability frameworks for CO2 storage. Both Boundary Dam and Sleipner have had to deal with the issue of who is responsible for monitoring and managing storage sites over the long term. In Norway, the government assumes liability for the CO2 stored at Sleipner, while in Canada, the company that owns the Boundary Dam project retains responsibility for the CO2 injected into the ground. The establishment of clear, legally enforceable liability frameworks for long-term storage will be crucial for the future expansion of CCS, as it reduces the risk for companies and governments alike.

Societal Acceptance: Public perception is one of the most challenging barriers to scaling CCS. In both Canada and Norway, local communities have generally supported CCS projects, though challenges persist in other regions. For example, while Sleipner is located offshore and has faced less public opposition, Boundary Dam faced initial resistance from local communities due to concerns about CO2 storage and the environmental risks of a nearby industrial project. Transparency in project planning, clear communication about safety measures, and a demonstration of environmental benefits (such as reduced CO2 emissions) were crucial in gaining local support. These cases show that engagement and education are essential for overcoming public skepticism and ensuring that communities understand the benefits and safety of CCS.

7.3 Scalable Models for Global Implementation

While the Boundary Dam and Sleipner projects provide valuable insights, they are not universally applicable to every country or region. Therefore, it is essential to consider how the lessons learned from these case studies can be adapted and applied in different global contexts. Scaling CCS in Developing Regions: One of the most important considerations for global implementation is the economic feasibility of CCS in developing countries. In regions with limited financial resources, the high upfront costs of CCS infrastructure may pose a barrier to deployment. However, the lessons from Boundary Dam's EOR integration can be applied in countries with oil and gas reserves. By using captured CO2 for enhanced oil recovery, developing countries can offset the costs of CCS and create an additional revenue stream. Additionally, international financial support through climate finance mechanisms, such as the Green Climate Fund, could provide the necessary resources to implement CCS projects in developing regions. Collaborations between developed and developing countries, especially through technology transfer and joint ventures, could also help lower costs and facilitate knowledge-sharing. Replicating Success in Emerging Economies: Emerging economies with rapidly growing industrial sectors, such as China and India, represent another crucial area for scaling CCS. These countries are major sources of global CO2 emissions and face challenges in decarbonizing heavy industries like cement and steel. The integration of CCS into industries in these economies is essential for achieving climate goals. Lessons from Boundary Dam's retrofit strategy can be applied to existing industrial facilities in emerging economies, where the cost of building new facilities from scratch is often prohibitive. Moreover, the policy frameworks incentivizing CCS in regions like Canada and Norway can be adapted to suit emerging economies' political and economic realities. Governments in these regions could implement similar carbon pricing mechanisms or offer subsidies for CCS projects to stimulate private sector involvement.

Global CCS Networks and Knowledge Sharing: Scaling CCS globally will also require the development of international

CCS networks that promote collaboration and knowledge sharing. Platforms such as the Global CCS Institute are essential in fostering international cooperation by providing technical expertise, policy advocacy, and facilitating partnerships. By learning from the experiences of successful CCS projects, countries around the world can share best practices, avoid common pitfalls, and ensure that CCS is deployed more effectively across different industries and geographies.

8. FUTURE DIRECTIONS FOR CCS RESEARCH AND POLICY

8.1 Innovative CCS Technologies

The future of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) is poised to be shaped by innovative technologies that go beyond traditional CO2 capture and storage methods. While existing CCS systems have made significant strides in sectors such as power generation and industrial emissions, the continuous advancement of technologies holds the potential to expand CCS applications, improve efficiency, and reduce costs. Among the most promising innovations in the field are direct air capture (DAC) and advancements in geological storage.

Direct Air Capture (DAC) is a revolutionary technology that captures CO2 directly from the atmosphere, as opposed to conventional CCS, which targets emissions from specific industrial sources. DAC has the potential to act as a carbon removal strategy, offering a way to reduce atmospheric CO2 levels to pre-industrial concentrations. The key advantage of DAC is its flexibility, as it does not rely on emissions from specific industries but can be deployed in various locations, including urban areas or regions that do not have a significant industrial base. Several companies, such as Climeworks and Carbon Engineering, have already made strides in developing DAC systems that can remove large quantities of CO2 from the air. However, the technology is still in its early stages, with challenges related to energy consumption, costs, and scaling remaining significant barriers. The advancement of DAC will depend on improvements in materials science, sorption technologies, and the energy efficiency of capture processes.

In parallel, advancements in geological storage are also critical for the future of CCS. As more CO2 is injected into underground storage sites, researchers are working to improve the monitoring and verification techniques used to ensure the long-term integrity and safety of storage sites. Innovations in seismic imaging and tracer technologies are helping scientists better understand CO2 behavior within geological formations, improving the ability to predict and manage potential risks. Furthermore, there is growing interest in using alternative geological formations, such as basalts and unconventional reservoirs, for CO2 storage. These advancements offer the potential for larger-scale storage and greater flexibility in the types of sites that can be utilized for CO2 injection.

8.2 Policy Recommendations

The successful scaling of CCS will require governments to adopt forward-thinking policies that support the development and deployment of CCS technologies. Currently, the high costs associated with CCS, particularly in its early stages of deployment, present a significant challenge to widespread adoption. Several key policy measures can be implemented to accelerate the growth of CCS technologies and bring them to commercial viability.

One of the most effective policy tools is the carbon tax or carbon pricing mechanism. By putting a price on carbon emissions, governments can incentivize companies to adopt CCS technologies as a way to mitigate their carbon liabilities. A robust carbon tax can make the costs of emitting CO2 higher than the costs associated with capturing and storing it, thus encouraging industries to invest in CCS. Carbon pricing can also create a predictable market for carbon reduction credits, which can help fund CCS projects. Countries like Sweden and Switzerland have successfully implemented carbon pricing systems that have driven emission reductions, and this approach could be adapted to encourage the deployment of CCS.

In addition to carbon taxes, subsidies and financial incentives for early-stage CCS projects are essential for overcoming the initial financial hurdles developers face. Governments could provide grants or low-interest loans to support the construction of CCS infrastructure, particularly in high-emission sectors like cement, steel, and chemicals. Additionally, tax credits or incentives for captured CO2 utilization—such as those used for enhanced oil recovery (EOR)—could encourage private sector investment in CCS technologies. These policies would reduce the financial risks associated with developing CCS infrastructure and make the technology more attractive to investors.

Governments could also implement carbon capture mandates, requiring specific industries or sectors to deploy CCS technologies by certain dates. Such regulations would push companies to adopt CCS even without direct financial incentives, creating a sense of urgency in addressing emissions. Countries like Canada and Norway have already integrated CCS mandates into their long-term climate strategies, setting a precedent for other nations to follow.

Finally, research and development (R&D) funding must be increased to support the next generation of CCS technologies. Public investment in R&D can help accelerate innovation and bring down the costs of CCS systems. Governments should provide funding for pilot projects, demonstration plants, and technology assessments to test new ideas and refine existing systems. Collaboration between the public and private sectors, universities, and research institutions will be key to advancing CCS technologies and ensuring their scalability.

8.3 Global Collaboration and Investment

While national policies are crucial in advancing CCS, global collaboration and private sector involvement are equally important in driving the widespread adoption of CCS technologies. Climate change is a global problem; addressing it requires coordinated action from countries worldwide. International cooperation on CCS can help to share knowledge, provide funding, and align efforts to scale up the technology.

One way to foster global collaboration is through international climate agreements that include specific targets for CCS deployment. These agreements could facilitate the transfer of financial resources and technology from developed to developing countries, enabling them to implement CCS projects. In addition, international partnerships between countries, corporations, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) can create synergies that accelerate the development of new CCS technologies. Collaborative research initiatives and shared databases of CO2 storage sites and capture technologies would allow for more efficient use of resources and better coordination of efforts.

Private sector investment will also be essential to the successful scaling of CCS. Although CCS technologies have significant promise, their high upfront costs often deter private companies from investing. Therefore, governments must provide a combination of policy incentives and regulatory certainty to encourage private investment in CCS. Companies involved in high-emission industries, such as energy, chemicals, and steel manufacturing, have a vested interest in finding solutions to reduce their carbon footprints, and they can play a crucial role in funding and deploying CCS technologies. By partnering with governments, carbon-heavy industries can also benefit from access to carbon credits, regulatory incentives, and new technologies that will help them comply with future emissions regulations.

The role of multinational corporations in CCS development is particularly important, as these companies often have the resources and global reach to scale CCS solutions worldwide. Leading energy companies, like ExxonMobil, Shell, and BP, have already invested in CCS and are collaborating on large-scale projects. These corporations have the expertise, infrastructure, and financial capacity to deploy CCS technologies on a large scale, and their involvement will be crucial in making CCS a mainstream solution for climate change mitigation.

International financial institutions, such as the World Bank, the European Investment Bank, and the Asian Development Bank, can finance large-scale CCS projects. These institutions can offer loans, grants, and guarantees to lower the financial risks associated with CCS implementation, particularly in developing countries.

In conclusion, the future of CCS relies on a combination of technological innovation, supportive policies, and global collaboration. As research improves CCS technologies, governments and the private sector must work together to create the regulatory and financial conditions that will support large-scale deployment. Only through coordinated efforts at the international level, along with significant private sector investment, will CCS be able to reach its full potential as a vital tool in the global fight against climate change.

9. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 Summary of Key Findings

Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) emerges as a critical technology in the global effort to address climate change and transition towards sustainable energy systems. CCS contributes significantly to the reduction of carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions, particularly in hard-to-abate sectors like cement, steel, and chemicals, where decarbonization efforts have faced considerable challenges. By capturing CO2 from industrial processes or directly from the air, CCS mitigates the negative impact of fossil fuel consumption while allowing for continued use of existing infrastructure. This makes it a key technology in the transition to a low-carbon economy.

Through the various stages of capture, transportation, and storage, CCS allows for the secure management of CO2 emissions that would otherwise contribute to global warming. When integrated into energy systems, particularly those involving renewable energy, CCS can provide a complementary solution to the challenges posed by intermittent energy generation. The technology also aligns with climate change mitigation goals, enabling nations to meet their commitments under the Paris Agreement and progress towards net-zero emissions by mid-century.

Moreover, the role of CCS in addressing global climate targets cannot be overstated. While renewable energy adoption is central to reducing emissions, CCS offers a way to offset emissions from sectors where electrification is not yet feasible, thus ensuring that the economy can decarbonize without sacrificing growth or economic stability. However, despite its potential, scaling up CCS to meet the needs of a rapidly changing global energy landscape requires overcoming numerous technical, economic, and social challenges.

9.2 Future of CCS

The future of CCS is promising, but its success hinges on several critical factors, including technological innovation, policy support, and public acceptance. CCS technologies are evolving, with advances in direct air capture (DAC) and geological storage offering significant potential for scaling up CO2 removal and storage. As these technologies mature, they will likely become more cost-effective and energy-efficient, enabling greater deployment across various industries and regions.

In particular, CCS's integration with renewable energy systems holds transformative potential. By capturing excess CO2 from industrial activities and integrating it with blue hydrogen or bioenergy with CCS (BECCS), countries can develop a circular energy system that reduces emissions and supports energy security. Additionally, the concept of negative emissions, facilitated by DAC and BECCS, is emerging as a necessary complement to traditional emission reduction strategies, particularly in regions where carbon neutrality needs to be achieved without full reliance on renewable energy alone.

For CCS to play a central role in achieving global climate targets, governments and industries must take proactive steps to enable widespread adoption. Governments can create an enabling environment through carbon pricing, incentives for early-stage projects, and clear regulatory frameworks promoting CCS investment. Industry leaders must continue to invest in research and development to refine the technologies and ensure that CCS is an economically viable solution. Collaboration between the public and private sectors is essential to ensure that CCS becomes an integral component of national and global strategies for climate change mitigation.

9.3 Recommendations

Various recommendations are directed at policymakers, industry leaders, and researchers to maximize the potential of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) and facilitate its widespread adoption. These recommendations are essential for addressing the technological, financial, and social challenges facing the scalability of CCS technologies.

Policymakers play a crucial role in creating an enabling environment for CCS adoption. One of the most vital steps is the implementation of comprehensive carbon pricing mechanisms. Governments should introduce or strengthen carbon pricing policies that accurately reflect the environmental cost of CO2 emissions. By setting a predictable and adequate carbon price, businesses would be incentivized to invest in CCS as a cost-effective solution to mitigate their carbon emissions. Additionally, policymakers should provide financial incentives such as tax credits, subsidies, or grants to encourage private sector investment in CCS technologies. These financial incentives would help alleviate the significant upfront capital required to develop CCS infrastructure, making it more attractive to investors and accelerating the deployment of CCS projects.

Furthermore, international collaboration is essential to address the global nature of climate change. Policymakers should work together to establish international regulatory standards, share best practices, and facilitate joint funding mechanisms, particularly for developing nations that lack the infrastructure and capital to implement CCS technologies. Finally, clear regulatory frameworks must be established to ensure CO2 storage, monitoring, and liability transparency. Regulatory certainty would enhance the trust of private companies, enabling them to confidently invest in large-scale CO2 storage projects.

Industry leaders also have an essential role in driving CCS adoption. A key responsibility is investing in research and development (R&D) to foster the development of more advanced CCS technologies, particularly in emerging areas like direct air capture and improved sequestration techniques. By focusing on innovation, industry players can help lower the costs and improve the efficiency of CCS technologies, making them more accessible and scalable. Another important step for industry leaders is to commit to large-scale pilot projects. These pilot projects, which demonstrate the real-world feasibility of CCS in various industrial settings, are vital for providing valuable data on the performance, economic viability, and environmental impacts of CCS. Such projects can serve as proof of concept, attracting further investment and encouraging broader adoption of CCS technologies. Industry leaders should also promote cross-industry collaboration, vital for overcoming technical and financial barriers. Public-private partnerships can help share the financial burden of deploying CCS and pool expertise from various sectors, facilitating faster and more successful implementation.

Researchers are central to the advancement of CCS, as their work will directly address some of the most pressing challenges. One of the primary focus areas for researchers should be cost reduction and efficiency improvements in CCS technologies. This includes developing new CO2 capture materials, optimizing sequestration techniques, and improving the infrastructure required to transport CO2. By addressing the technical hurdles associated with high energy consumption and costs, researchers can make CCS a more viable solution on a global scale. Researchers should also investigate public perception of CCS to better understand societal concerns about its safety and long-term viability. This research can inform public communication strategies, helping to alleviate fears and increasing social acceptance of CCS projects, especially in areas where CO2 storage might be near residential zones. Additionally, researchers should focus on alternative storage sites and methods to expand global CO2 sequestration capacity. Innovative geological structures, such as basalt formations, may offer promising storage solutions, and further investigation into these unconventional sites can ensure the long-term success and scalability of CCS.

When implemented collectively by policymakers, industry leaders, and researchers, these recommendations will help overcome the barriers currently preventing the widespread deployment of CCS technologies. By addressing the technical, financial, and societal challenges, these stakeholders can ensure that CCS becomes a critical tool in the fight against climate change and a key element of the energy transition process.

REFERENCES

1. D. Gielen *et al.*, "Global energy transformation: a roadmap to 2050," 2019.
2. A. M. Omer, "Energy use and environmental impacts: A general review," *Journal of renewable and Sustainable Energy,* vol. 1, no. 5, 2009.
3. M. J. B. Kabeyi and O. A. Olanrewaju, "Sustainable energy transition for renewable and low carbon grid electricity generation and supply," *Frontiers in Energy research,* vol. 9, p. 743114, 2022.

4. A. Kalair, N. Abas, M. S. Saleem, A. R. Kalair, and N. Khan, "Role of energy storage systems in energy transition from fossil fuels to renewables," *Energy Storage,* vol. 3, no. 1, p. e135, 2021.
5. J. Rogelj *et al.*, "Paris Agreement climate proposals need a boost to keep warming well below 2 C," *Nature,* vol. 534, no. 7609, pp. 631-639, 2016.
6. S. K. Rose, R. Richels, G. Blanford, and T. Rutherford, "The Paris Agreement and next steps in limiting global warming," *Climatic Change,* vol. 142, pp. 255-270, 2017.
7. H. C. Lau, S. Ramakrishna, K. Zhang, and A. V. Radhamani, "The role of carbon capture and storage in the energy transition," *Energy & Fuels,* vol. 35, no. 9, pp. 7364-7386, 2021.
8. D. Y. Leung, G. Caramanna, and M. M. Maroto-Valer, "An overview of current status of carbon dioxide capture and storage technologies," *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews,* vol. 39, pp. 426-443, 2014.
9. M. Bui *et al.*, "Carbon capture and storage (CCS): the way forward," *Energy & Environmental Science,* vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 1062-1176, 2018.
10. F. S. AlHumaidan, M. A. Halabi, M. S. Rana, and M. Vinoba, "Blue hydrogen: Current status and future technologies," *Energy Conversion and Management,* vol. 283, p. 116840, 2023.
11. W. Y. Cheah, T. C. Ling, J. C. Juan, D.-J. Lee, J.-S. Chang, and P. L. Show, "Biorefineries of carbon dioxide: From carbon capture and storage (CCS) to bioenergies production," *Bioresource Technology,* vol. 215, pp. 346-356, 2016.
12. K. Onarheim, A. Mathisen, and A. Arasto, "Barriers and opportunities for application of CCS in Nordic industry—A sectorial approach," *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control,* vol. 36, pp. 93-105, 2015.
13. P. Zakkour and W. Heidug, "A Mechanism for CCS in the Post-Paris Era," *King Abdullah Petroleum Studies and Research Center. https://doi.org/10.30573/KS--2019-DP52,* 2019.
14. J. Friedmann *et al.*, "Net-zero and geospheric return: actions today for 2030 and beyond," *Center on Global Energy Policy,* 2020.
15. L. Yang, X. Zhang, and K. J. McAlinden, "The effect of trust on people's acceptance of CCS (carbon capture and storage) technologies: Evidence from a survey in the People's Republic of China," *Energy,* vol. 96, pp. 69-79, 2016.
16. C. Gürsan and V. de Gooyert, "The systemic impact of a transition fuel: Does natural gas help or hinder the energy transition?," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews,* vol. 138, p. 110552, 2021.
17. J. R. Fanchi, *Energy In The 21st Century: Energy In Transition*. World Scientific, 2023.
18. D. Gielen, F. Boshell, D. Saygin, M. D. Bazilian, N. Wagner, and R. Gorini, "The role of renewable energy in the global energy transformation," *Energy strategy reviews,* vol. 24, pp. 38-50, 2019.
19. D. Bogdanov *et al.*, "Low-cost renewable electricity as the key driver of the global energy transition towards sustainability," *Energy,* vol. 227, p. 120467, 2021.
20. Y. Wang *et al.*, "Carbon peak and carbon neutrality in China: Goals, implementation path and prospects," *China Geology,* vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 720-746, 2021.
21. J. L. Holechek, H. M. Geli, M. N. Sawalhah, and R. Valdez, "A global assessment: can renewable energy replace fossil fuels by 2050?," *Sustainability,* vol. 14, no. 8, p. 4792, 2022.
22. M. Sonnenschein, O. Lünsdorf, J. Bremer, and M. Tröschel, "Decentralized control of units in smart grids for the support of renewable energy supply," *Environmental Impact Assessment Review,* vol. 52, pp. 40-52, 2015.
23. B. Helgeson and J. Peter, "The role of electricity in decarbonizing European road transport–Development and assessment of an integrated multi-sectoral model," *Applied Energy,* vol. 262, p. 114365, 2020.
24. D. Bose *et al.*, "Innovative approaches for carbon capture and storage as crucial measures for emission reduction within industrial sectors," *Carbon Capture Science & Technology,* vol. 12, p. 100238, 2024.
25. F. Z. Ainou, M. Ali, and M. Sadiq, "Green energy security assessment in Morocco: green finance as a step toward sustainable energy transition," *Environmental Science and Pollution Research,* vol. 30, no. 22, pp. 61411-61429, 2023.
26. H. D. Matthews and S. Wynes, "Current global efforts are insufficient to limit warming to 1.5 C," *Science,* vol. 376, no. 6600, pp. 1404-1409, 2022.
27. M. Meinshausen *et al.*, "Realization of Paris Agreement pledges may limit warming just below 2 C," *Nature,* vol. 604, no. 7905, pp. 304-309, 2022.
28. S. Teske, *Achieving the Paris climate agreement goals: Global and regional 100% renewable energy scenarios with non-energy GHG pathways for+ 1.5 C and+ 2 C*. Springer Nature, 2019.
29. D. L. McCollum *et al.*, "Energy investment needs for fulfilling the Paris Agreement and achieving the Sustainable Development Goals," *Nature Energy,* vol. 3, no. 7, pp. 589-599, 2018.
30. J. Jasiūnas, P. D. Lund, and J. Mikkola, "Energy system resilience–A review," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews,* vol. 150, p. 111476, 2021.

31. P. Le Billon and B. Kristoffersen, "Just cuts for fossil fuels? Supply-side carbon constraints and energy transition," *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space,* vol. 52, no. 6, pp. 1072-1092, 2020.
32. B. Zakeri *et al.*, "Pandemic, war, and global energy transitions," *Energies,* vol. 15, no. 17, p. 6114, 2022.
33. G. L. Kyriakopoulos, D. Streimikiene, and T. Baležentis, "Addressing challenges of low-carbon energy transition," vol. 15, ed: MDPI, 2022, p. 5718.
34. M. Khalid, "Smart grids and renewable energy systems: Perspectives and grid integration challenges," *Energy Strategy Reviews,* vol. 51, p. 101299, 2024.
35. C. Rae, S. Kerr, and M. M. Maroto-Valer, "Upscaling smart local energy systems: A review of technical barriers," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews,* vol. 131, p. 110020, 2020.
36. P. Newell, *Power shift: The global political economy of energy transitions*. Cambridge University Press, 2021.
37. N. Bensalah, "Challenges and Opportunities in Energy Economics: Balancing Cost, Sustainability, and Innovation in the Global Energy Transition," *Modern Economy,* vol. 15, no. 11, pp. 1147-1180, 2024.
38. T. M. Gür, "Carbon dioxide emissions, capture, storage and utilization: Review of materials, processes and technologies," *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science,* vol. 89, p. 100965, 2022.
39. D. Jansen, M. Gazzani, G. Manzolini, E. van Dijk, and M. Carbo, "Pre-combustion CO2 capture," *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control,* vol. 40, pp. 167-187, 2015.
40. P. Madejski, K. Chmiel, N. Subramanian, and T. Kuś, "Methods and techniques for CO2 capture: Review of potential solutions and applications in modern energy technologies," *Energies,* vol. 15, no. 3, p. 887, 2022.
41. O. A. Ibigbami, O. D. Onilearo, and R. O. Akinyeye, "Post-combustion capture and other Carbon Capture and Sequestration (CCS) technologies: a review," *Environmental Quality Management,* 2024.
42. M. A. Habib, M. A. Nemitallah, and H. M. Badr, *Oxyfuel combustion for clean energy applications*. Springer, 2019.
43. S. Yadav and S. Mondal, "A review on the progress and prospects of oxy-fuel carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) technology," *Fuel,* vol. 308, p. 122057, 2022.
44. R. N. E. Huaman and T. X. Jun, "Energy related CO2 emissions and the progress on CCS projects: a review," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews,* vol. 31, pp. 368-385, 2014.
45. A. Bhave *et al.*, "Screening and techno-economic assessment of biomass-based power generation with CCS technologies to meet 2050 CO2 targets," *Applied Energy,* vol. 190, pp. 481-489, 2017.
46. N. Bahman *et al.*, "A critical review on global CO2 emission: where do industries stand?," *Reviews on Environmental Health,* vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 681-696, 2023.
47. E. Benhelal, E. Shamsaei, and M. I. Rashid, "Challenges against CO2 abatement strategies in cement industry: A review," *Journal of Environmental Sciences,* vol. 104, pp. 84-101, 2021.
48. A. Babin, C. Vaneeckhaute, and M. C. Iliuta, "Potential and challenges of bioenergy with carbon capture and storage as a carbon-negative energy source: A review," *Biomass and Bioenergy,* vol. 146, p. 105968, 2021.
49. J. Rissman *et al.*, "Technologies and policies to decarbonize global industry: Review and assessment of mitigation drivers through 2070," *Applied energy,* vol. 266, p. 114848, 2020.
50. F. Rodrigues and I. Joekes, "Cement industry: sustainability, challenges and perspectives," *Environmental Chemistry Letters,* vol. 9, pp. 151-166, 2011.
51. A.-M. Cormos and C.-C. Cormos, "Reducing the carbon footprint of cement industry by post-combustion CO2 capture: Techno-economic and environmental assessment of a CCS project in Romania," *Chemical Engineering Research and Design,* vol. 123, pp. 230-239, 2017.
52. D. Leeson, N. Mac Dowell, N. Shah, C. Petit, and P. S. Fennell, "A Techno-economic analysis and systematic review of carbon capture and storage (CCS) applied to the iron and steel, cement, oil refining and pulp and paper industries, as well as other high purity sources," *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control,* vol. 61, pp. 71-84, 2017.
53. A. Zapantis, "Blue hydrogen," *Global CCS Institute: Melbourne, Australia,* 2021.
54. S. Cloete, C. A. del Pozo, and Á. J. Álvaro, "System-friendly process design: Optimizing blue hydrogen production for future energy systems," *Energy,* vol. 259, p. 124954, 2022.
55. A. Bashir *et al.*, "Comprehensive review of CO2 geological storage: Exploring principles, mechanisms, and prospects," *Earth-Science Reviews,* p. 104672, 2024.
56. C. R. Matos, J. F. Carneiro, and P. P. Silva, "Overview of large-scale underground energy storage technologies for integration of renewable energies and criteria for reservoir identification," *Journal of Energy Storage,* vol. 21, pp. 241-258, 2019.

57. A. J. Gabric, "The climate change crisis: a review of its causes and possible responses," *Atmosphere*, vol. 14, no. 7, p. 1081, 2023.
58. K. O. Yoro and M. O. Daramola, "CO2 emission sources, greenhouse gases, and the global warming effect," in *Advances in carbon capture*: Elsevier, 2020, pp. 3-28.
59. S. Budinis, S. Krevor, N. Mac Dowell, N. Brandon, and A. Hawkes, "An assessment of CCS costs, barriers and potential," *Energy strategy reviews*, vol. 22, pp. 61-81, 2018.
60. S. Shahzad, M. Faheem, H. A. Muqeet, and M. Waseem, "Charting the UK's path to net zero emissions by 2050: Challenges, strategies, and future directions," *IET Smart Grid*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 716-736, 2024.
61. B. Page *et al.*, "The global status of CCS 2020: vital to achieve net zero," 2020.
62. D. J. Smit and J. B. Powell, "Role of international oil companies in the net-zero emission energy transition," *Annual Review of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 301-322, 2023.
63. M. Shen *et al.*, "Carbon capture and storage (CCS): Development path based on carbon neutrality and economic policy," *Carbon Neutrality*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 37, 2022.
64. J. Koornneef, A. Ramírez, W. Turkenburg, and A. Faaij, "The environmental impact and risk assessment of CO2 capture, transport and storage–An evaluation of the knowledge base," *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 62-86, 2012.
65. J. Lilliestam, J. M. Bielicki, and A. G. Patt, "Comparing carbon capture and storage (CCS) with concentrating solar power (CSP): Potentials, costs, risks, and barriers," *Energy policy*, vol. 47, pp. 447-455, 2012.
66. D. Kearns, H. Liu, and C. Consoli, "Technology readiness and costs of CCS," *Global CCS institute*, vol. 3, 2021.
67. V. E. Onyebuchi, A. Kolios, D. P. Hanak, C. Biliyok, and V. Manovic, "A systematic review of key challenges of CO2 transport via pipelines," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 81, pp. 2563-2583, 2018.
68. K. J. Shah *et al.*, "Green transportation for sustainability: Review of current barriers, strategies, and innovative technologies," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 326, p. 129392, 2021.
69. E. Narassimhan, K. S. Gallagher, S. Koester, and J. R. Alejo, "Carbon pricing in practice: a review of the evidence," *Climate Policy Lab: Medford, MA, USA*, 2017.
70. J. J. Anderson, D. Rode, H. Zhai, and P. Fischbeck, "A techno-economic assessment of carbon-sequestration tax incentives in the US power sector," *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, vol. 111, p. 103450, 2021.
71. S. E. Schütz and A. Omar, "Report on regulations and technological capabilities for monitoring CO2 storage sites," 2023.
72. A. Hansson, J. Anshelm, M. Fridahl, and S. Haikola, "The underworld of tomorrow? How subsurface carbon dioxide storage leaked out of the public debate," *Energy Research & Social Science*, vol. 90, p. 102606, 2022.
73. L. Whitmarsh, D. Xenias, and C. R. Jones, "Framing effects on public support for carbon capture and storage," *Palgrave Communications*, vol. 5, no. 1, 2019.
74. M. Jaccard and J. Sharp, "CCS in Canada," in *Caching the carbon*: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2009.
75. M. Hurlbert and M. Osazuwa-Peters, "Carbon capture and storage in Saskatchewan: An analysis of communicative practices in a contested technology," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 173, p. 113104, 2023.
76. R. Chadwick, "Offshore CO2 storage: Sleipner natural gas field beneath the North Sea," in *Geological storage of carbon dioxide (CO2)*: Elsevier, 2013, pp. 227-253e.
77. H. Hansen, O. Eiken, and T. Aasum, "Tracing the path of carbon dioxide from a gas/condensate reservoir, through an amine plant and back into a subsurface aquifer–case study: the Sleipner area, Norwegian North Sea," in *SPE Offshore Europe Conference and Exhibition*, 2005: SPE, pp. SPE-96742-MS.